arXiv:math/9905064v1 [math.QA] 11 May 1999

Classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$ II: higher rank

Chongying Dong[1]
Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, U.S.A

Kiyokazu Nagatomo[2]
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University
Osaka, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan

Abstract: The vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$ is the fixed point set of free bosonic vertex operator algebra $M(1)$ of rank ℓ under the -1 automorphism. All irreducible modules for $M(1)^+$ are classified in this paper for any ℓ.

1 Introduction

This is the third paper in studying θ-orbifold models associated to lattice vertex operator algebras V_L for even integral lattices L where θ is an automorphism of V_L of order 2 lifted from the -1 isometry of L. The V_L contains the rank ℓ free bosonic vertex operator algebra $M(1)$ and the automorphism θ preserves $M(1)$. In [DN1] we studied the orbifold model $M(1)^+$ which is the θ-fixed point set of $M(1)$ and classified all the inequivalent irreducible modules by determining associated Zhu's algebra $A(M(1)^+)$ explicitly in the case of rank one. The results and the method developed in [DN1] were effectively used in [DN2] to get the classification result for the inequivalent irreducible modules for the charge conjugation orbifold model, which is the θ-invariants of a lattice vertex operator algebra V_L for a rank one lattice L. In this paper, we investigate the

---

[1] Supported by NSF grant DMS-9700923 and a research grant from the Committee on Research, UC Santa Cruz.

[2] Supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

θ-orbifold model $M(1)^+$ for arbitrary rank ℓ free bosonic vertex operator algebra $M(1)$ and classify the irreducible modules for $M(1)^+$. The results in this paper are expected to be used to study the representation theory for a vertex operator algebra V_L^+ which is the θ-invariants of V_L for a lattice L of rank ℓ.

The free bosonic vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{H} = M(1)$ of rank ℓ (cf. [FLM]) is an affine vertex operator algebra associated to an ℓ-dimensional abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ (see Subsection 2.2 below). The map $\theta : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ defined by $\theta(h) = -h$ induces a vertex operator algebra automorphism denoted by the same symbol θ. Then the fixed point set $\mathcal{H}^+$ of θ is a simple vertex operator subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$. It is well known that all the irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}$ are exhausted by Fock representation $M(1,\lambda)$ for the affine algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ with the highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$. As a module for $\mathcal{H}^+$, $M(1,\lambda)$ and $M(1,-\lambda)$ are isomorphic and irreducible if $\lambda \neq 0$. But $M(1,0) = \mathcal{H}$ decomposes into its irreducible components $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-$ where $\mathcal{H}^\pm$ are the eigenspaces of θ. One of the features of orbifold models is the existence of extra irreducible modules which come from the twisted sectors. The $\mathcal{H}$ has exactly one irreducible θ-twisted module $\mathcal{H}(\theta)$ with the θ action, which gives rise to two inequivalent irreducible modules $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^\pm$ for $\mathcal{H}^+$ where $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^\pm$ are the eigenspaces of θ. The main result in this paper is that $M(1,\lambda)$ $(\lambda \neq 0)$, $\mathcal{H}^\pm$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^\pm$ are all inequivalent irreducible $\mathcal{H}^+$-modules.

In [Z], Zhu introduced an associative algebra $A(V)$ for any vertex operator algebra V, which gives a lot of information on V as far as the representation theory concerns. For instance, there is a one to one correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of irreducible modules for the associative algebra $A(V)$ and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible admissible modules for V. This fact has been used to classify the irreducible modules for affine vertex operator algebras [FZ], Virasoro vertex operator algebras [W], lattice vertex operator algebras [DLM3], $M(1)^+$ in the case $\ell = 1$ [DN1] and the -1 orbifold vertex operator algebra associated to the rank 1 lattice [DN2]. This idea was developed further in [DLM2] to deal with twisted representations and the θ-twisted modules for lattice vertex operator algebras V_L were classified along this line [DN3] .

The classification result in this paper is also achieved by using Zhu's algebra. The strategy is to determine Zhu's algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and to find a set of good generators and their relations. The determination of Zhu's algebra is not only related to the representation theory but also the structure theory for a given vertex operator algebra. For example we found a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type theorem for a $\mathcal{H}^+$ in [DN1] in the case $\ell = 1$. So investigation of Zhu's algebra sheds light on the hidden structure of

VOA's.

It is worth pointing out that there is a main difference between rank one case and the others. Zhu's algebra for rank one case is commutative but is not for higher rank case. For instance the top level of the module $\mathcal{H}^-$ is ℓ-dimensional. The algebra structure of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and ideas given in [DN1] in the rank one case are very helpful but not enough to attack the higher rank case. To overcome the difficulty arising from noncommutativity of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ we introduce an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ which is isomorphic to the direct sum of two copies of matrix algebra $M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$. Then we shows the quotient algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)/\mathcal{I}$ is commutative and is generated by the elements ω_a, J_a and Λ_{ab} (see Section 5). It is fair to say that we do not determine the algebra structure of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ completely in terms of generators and relations. But the relations among generators of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ found in this paper are good enough to classify all irreducible modules for $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and for the VOA $\mathcal{H}^+$.

We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2, we review definitions and states properties of the VOA $\mathcal{H}^+$. The list of inequivalent irreducible modules is given here. We explain the notion of Zhu's algebras in Section 3 and prove some formulas which we need later. Section 4 is devoted to find a finite set of generators for $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$. In Section 5, we introduce the elements E^u_{ab}, E^t_{ab} and Λ_{ab} as well as ω_a, J_a which form a "nice" generating set of Zhu's algebra. It will be shown that the elements E^u_{ab} and E^t_{ab} forms the matrix algebra $M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ respectively. We derive more relations among the generators in Section 6. The evaluation method developed in [DN1] and [DN2] is fully used for this aim. We show these relations are enough to classify all the irreducible modules for $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and then for the VOA $\mathcal{H}^+$.

The core of this work was done while the second author was visiting University of California at Santa Cruz in January, 1999. K. N thanks Professor Mason for the hospitality during the stay.

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of positive integers.

2 Preliminaries

This section is divided into two subsections. In the first subsection we recall various notions of (twisted) modules for a vertex operator algebra V. In the second subsection we discuss the construction of the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and its (twisted) modules.

2.1 Modules

Let $V = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$ be a vertex operator algebra (cf. [B], [FLM]) and g be an automorphism of V of finite order T. Then g preserves each weight space V_n and we decompose V into eigenspaces with respect to the action of g as $V = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^r$ where $V^r = \{v \in V | gv = e^{-2\pi ir/T}v\}$.

An *admissible g-twisted V-module* (cf. [DLM2])

$$M = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(\frac{n}{T})$$

is a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space with the *top level* $M(0) \neq 0$ equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{End}\, M)\{z\} \\ v & \longmapsto & Y_M(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Q}} v_n z^{-n-1}, \quad (v_n \in \mathrm{End}\, M) \end{array}$$

which satisfies the following conditions for $0 \le r \le T-1$, $u \in V^r$, $v \in V$, $w \in M$:
(A1) $Y_M(u,z) = \sum_{n\in r/T+\mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1}$, i.e., $u_n = 0$ if $n \notin r/T + \mathbb{Z}$.
(A2) There exists an integer N such that $u_n w = 0$ for all $n > r/T + N$.
(A3) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{id}_M$ where id_M is the identity map on M.
(A4) Jacobi identity

$$z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right)Y_M(u,z_1)Y_M(v,z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right)Y_M(v,z_2)Y_M(u,z_1)$$
$$= z_2^{-1}\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)^{-r/T}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right)Y_M(Y(u,z_0)v,z_2),$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n$ and all binomial expressions are to be expanded in nonnegative integral powers of the second variable. (One can find elementary properties of the δ-function in [FLM].)
(A5) If u is homogeneous,

$$u_m M(n) \subset M(n + \mathrm{wt}\,(u) - m - 1).$$

If $g = \mathrm{id}_V$, this reduces to the definition of an admissible V-module (cf. [DLM1]).

A *g-twisted V-module* is an admissible g-twisted V-module M such that $L(0)$ is semisimple;

$$M = \coprod_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} M_\lambda, \quad M_\lambda = \{w \in M | L(0)w = \lambda w\}$$

and $\dim M_\lambda$ is finite, and for fixed λ, $M_{n/T+\lambda} = 0$ for all small enough integers n. Again if $g = \mathrm{id}_V$ we get the definition of an ordinary V-module.

2.2 Vertex operator algebras $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^+$

Following [FLM] we discuss the construction of vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and its (twisted) modules. The vertex operator subalgebra $\mathcal{H}^+$ is defined and the list of known irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}^+$ is presented.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be an ℓ-dimensional vector space with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}\,K$ be the corresponding affine Lie algebra viewing $\mathfrak{h}$ as an abelian Lie algebra. Let $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}$ and consider the induced $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$-module

$$M(1,\lambda) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})\otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t]\oplus\mathbb{C}K)}\mathbb{C} \simeq S(\mathfrak{h}\otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \quad \text{(linearly)}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}\otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ acts as $\langle\alpha,\lambda\rangle$ for $\alpha\in\mathfrak{h}$ and K acts as 1. For $\alpha\in\mathfrak{h}$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, we write $\alpha(n)$ for the operator $\alpha\otimes t^n$ acting on $M(1,\lambda)$ and set

$$\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\alpha(n)z^{-n-1}.$$

Among $M(1,\lambda), (\lambda\in\mathfrak{h})$, the space $\mathcal{H} = M(1,0)$ is specially interesting as it has a vertex operator algebra structure as explained below. ($M(1,0)$ is denoted by $M(1)$ in [FLM].) We set $\mathbf{1} = 1\otimes 1$. For $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k\in\mathfrak{h}$, $(n_1,\dots,n_k\in\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $v = \alpha_1(-n_1)\cdots\alpha_k(-n_k)\mathbf{1}\in\mathcal{H}$, we define a vertex operator, acting on $M(1,\lambda)$, corresponding to v by

(2.2.1)

$$Y(v,z) = {\circ \atop \circ}[\partial^{(n_1-1)}\alpha_1(z)][\partial^{(n_2-1)}\alpha_2(z)]\cdots[\partial^{(n_k-1)}\alpha_k(z)]{\circ \atop \circ}, \quad \partial^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^n$$

where a normal ordering procedure indicated by open colons signifies that the expression between two open colons is to be reordered if necessary so that all the operators $\alpha(n)$ $(\alpha\in\mathfrak{h},\ n<0)$ are to be placed to the left of all the operators $\alpha(n)$, $(n\geq 0)$ before the expression is evaluated. We extend Y to all $v\in V$ by linearity. Let $\{h_1,\dots h_\ell\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and set $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}h_i(-1)^2\mathbf{1}$. The following theorem is well known (cf. [FLM]).

Theorem 2.2.1. *The space $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H},Y,\mathbf{1},\omega)$ is a simple vertex operator algebra with a vacuum $\mathbf{1}$ and a Virasoro element ω and $M(1,\lambda) = (M(1,\lambda),Y)$ for $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}$ are inequivalent $\mathcal{H}$-modules. Moreover, any irreducible $\mathcal{H}$-module is isomorphic to a module $M(1,\lambda) = (M(1,\lambda),Y)$ for for some $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}$.*

Now, we define an automorphism θ of $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\theta(\alpha_1(-n_1)\cdots\alpha_k(-n_k)\mathbf{1}) = (-1)^k\alpha_1(-n_1)\cdots\alpha_k(-n_k)\mathbf{1}.$$

Then the θ-fixed point set $\mathcal{H}^+$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is a simple vertex operator subalgebra and the -1-eigenspace $\mathcal{H}^-$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}^+$-module: See Theorem 2 of [DM2].

Following [DM1] we define another $\mathcal{H}$-module from $M(1,\lambda)$; $\theta \circ M(1,\lambda) = (\theta \circ M(1,\lambda), Y^\theta)$ where $Y^\theta(v,z) = Y(\theta(v),z)$. Then $\theta \circ M(1,\lambda)$ is also an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$-module isomorphic to $M(1,-\lambda)$. The following proposition is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.1 of [DM2].

Proposition 2.2.2. *If $\lambda \neq 0$ then $M(1,\lambda)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{H}^+$-module, and $M(1,\lambda)$ and $M(1,-\lambda)$ are isomorphic.*

Next we turn our attention to the θ-twisted $\mathcal{H}$-modules (cf. [FLM]). The twisted affine algebra for $\mathfrak{h}$ is defined to be $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1] = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{1/2+n} \oplus \mathbb{C}\,K$. Its canonical irreducible module is

$$\mathcal{H}(\theta) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h}\otimes t^{1/2}\mathbb{C}[t]\oplus\mathbb{C}K)} \mathbb{C} \simeq S(\mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{-1/2}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1/2}])$$

where $\mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{1/2}\mathbb{C}[t]$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}$ and K acts as 1. As before we can define an involution on $\mathcal{H}(\theta)$ also denoted by θ

$$\theta(\alpha_1(-m_1)\cdots\alpha_k(-m_k)\mathbf{1}) = (-1)^k\alpha_1(-m_1)\cdots\alpha_k(-m_k)\mathbf{1}$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}, m_i \in 1/2 + \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha(n) = \alpha \otimes t^n$. We denote the ±1-eigenspace of $\mathcal{H}(\theta)$ under θ by $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^\pm$.

Let $v = \alpha_1(-n_1)\cdots\alpha_k(-n_k)\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{H}$, $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$. We first introduce an operator

$$W_\theta(v,z) = {}^\circ_\circ\,[\partial^{(n_1-1)}\alpha_1(z)][\partial^{(n_2-1)}\alpha_2(z)]\cdots[\partial^{(n_k-1)}\alpha_k(z)]\,{}^\circ_\circ,$$

where the right hand side is an operator on $\mathcal{H}(\theta)$, namely,

$$\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}} \alpha(n)z^{-n-1}$$

and where normal ordering procedure is as before. We extend this to all $v \in \mathcal{H}$ by linearity. Define constants $c_{mn} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $m,n \geq 0$ by the formula

$$\sum_{m,n\geq 0} c_{mn}x^m y^n = -\log\left(\frac{(1+x)^{1/2} + (1+y)^{1/2}}{2}\right)$$

and set

$$\Delta_z = \sum_{m,n\geq 0}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{mn} h_i(m)h_i(n)z^{-m-n}.$$

The *twisted vertex operator* $Y_\theta(v,z)$ for $v \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$Y_\theta(v,z) = W_\theta(e^{\Delta_z}v,z).$$

Then we have:

Theorem 2.2.3. (i) $(\mathcal{H}(\theta), Y_\theta)$ *is an irreducible θ-twisted $\mathcal{H}$-module.*
(ii) $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\pm}$ *are irreducible $\mathcal{H}^+$-modules.*

Proof. Part (i) is a result of Chapter 9 of [FLM] and part (ii) follows from Theorem 5.5 of [DL]. □

3 Zhu's algebra

We review the definition of Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ associated to a vertex operator algebra V and related results from [Z] and [DLM2]. We also give several frequently used formulas in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

3.1 The definition of Zhu's algebra

Let us recall a vertex operator algebra is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded;

$$V = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n.$$

Each $v \in V_n$ is called a homogeneous element of V with weight n, which we denote $n = \mathrm{wt}\,(v)$. Whenever we write $\mathrm{wt}\,(v)$, the element v is assumed to be homogeneous. In order to introduce Zhu's algebra, we need to define two binary operations $*$ and $\circ$ on V.

For $u \in V$ homogeneous and $v \in V$, we define

$$u * v = \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\left(\frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)}}{z}Y(u,z)v\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)}{i}u_{i-1}v, \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$u \circ v = \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\left(\frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)}}{z^2}Y(u,z)v\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)}{i}u_{i-2}v \tag{3.1.2}$$

and extend both (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) to linear operations on V. Define $O(V)$ to be the linear space spanned by all $u \circ v$ for $u, v \in V$. Then the $A(V)$ is defined to be the quotient space $V/O(V)$.

For $u \in V$, we define $o(u)$ the weight zero component operator of u on any admissible modules. Then $o(u) = u_{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)-1}$ if u is homogeneous.

The following theorem is essentially due to Zhu [Z] (also see [DLM2]).

Theorem 3.1.1. (i) *The bilinear map* $*$ *induces an associative algebra structure on* $A(V)$ *with the identity* $\mathbf{1} + O(V)$*. Moreover* $\omega + O(V)$ *is a central element of* $A(V)$*.*
(ii) *The map* $u \longmapsto o(u)$ *gives a representation of* $A(V)$ *on* $M(0)$ *for any admissible* V*-module* M*. Moreover, if any admissible module is completely reducible, then* $A(V)$ *is a finite dimensional semisimple algebra.*
(iii) *The map* $M \longmapsto M(0)$ *gives a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of irreducible admissible* V*-modules and the set of equivalence classes of simple* $A(V)$*-modules.*

For convenience, we write $[u] = u + O(V) \in A(V)$. We denote $u \sim v$ for $u, v \in V$ if $[u] = [v]$. This induces an equivalence relation on $\mathrm{End}\, V$ such that for $f, g \in \mathrm{End}\, V$, $f \sim g$ if and only if $fu \sim gu$ for all $u \in V$.

The following proposition is useful later (see [Z] for details).

Proposition 3.1.2. (i) *Let* $u \in V$ *be homogeneous and* $v \in V$*, then for* $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\left(\frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)}}{z^{n+2}}Y(u,z)v\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)}{i}u_{i-n-2}v \in O(V).$$

(ii) *Let* $v \in V$ *be homogeneous and* $u \in V$*, then*

$$u * v \sim \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\left(\frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}\,(v)-1}}{z}Y(v,z)u\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{wt}\,(v)-1}{i}v_{i-1}u.$$

(iii) *Let* $u, v \in V$ *be homogeneous, then*

$$u * v - v * u \sim \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\left((1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)-1}Y(u,z)v\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{\mathrm{wt}\,(u)-1}{i}u_i v.$$

(iv) *For any* $u \in V$*,* $L(-1)u + L(0)u \in O(V)$ *where* $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$*.*

3.2 Some formulas in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$

We prove some formulas in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Recall that $\{h_a \in \mathfrak{h} \mid a = 1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ is an orthonormal basis. We set

$$\omega_a = \frac{1}{2} h_a(-1)^2 \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad Y(\omega_a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_a(n) z^{-n-2}$$

for $a = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$.

Proposition 3.2.1. *For all* a, $(1 \leq a \leq \ell)$,
(i) $L_a(-n-3) + 2L_a(-n-2) + L_a(-n-1) \sim 0$, $(n \geq 0)$.
(ii) $u * \omega_a \sim (L_a(-2) + L_a(-1))u$ *for all* $u \in \mathcal{H}^+$.
(iii) $\omega_a * u - u * \omega_a \sim (L_a(-1) + L_a(0))u$ *for all* $u \in \mathcal{H}^+$.

Proof. Using Proposition 3.1.2 (i) for ω_a and for any $u \in V$ proves

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \left(\frac{(1+z)^2}{z^{2+n}} Y(\omega_a, z) u \right) = \big(L_a(-n-3) + 2L_a(-n-2) + L_a(-n-1)\big) u \in O(V).$$

Proposition 3.1.2 (ii) with $v = \omega_a$ shows

$$u * \omega_a \sim \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \left(\frac{1+z}{z} Y(\omega_a, z) u \right) = (L_a(-2) + L_a(-1))u.$$

Finally by Proposition 3.1.2 (iii), we have

$$\omega_a * u - u * \omega_a \sim \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} ((1+z) Y(\omega_a, z) u) = (L_a(-1) + L_a(0))u.$$

□

Remark 3.2.2. The Virasoro element ω in $\mathcal{H}^+$ is the sum of ω_a's, i.e., $\omega = \sum_{a=1}^{\ell} \omega_a$. We know $L(-1) + L(0) \sim 0$. But it is less obvious that $L_a(-1) + L_a(0) \nsim 0$. In order to see this, we fix $\{h_1(-1)\mathbf{1}, \dots, h_\ell(-1)\mathbf{1}\}$ as a basis of the top level of $\mathcal{H}^-$ and set $S_{ab} = h_a(-1)h_b(-1)\mathbf{1}$. Then S_{ab} acts on the top level of the module $\mathcal{H}^-$ as $E_{ab} + E_{ba}$ with respect to the basis where E_{ab} is the matrix element sending $h_c(-1)$ to $\delta_{c,a} h_b(-1)$, and ω_a acts as E_{aa}: See Table 1 in Subsection 4.3. Therefore, $\omega_a * S_{ab} - S_{ab} * \omega_a$ acts as E_{ab}, which means $(L_a(-1) + L_a(0))S_{ab} \nsim 0$ by Proposition 3.2.1 (iii). In particular, the algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is not commutative.

4 A finite set of generators for $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$

In this section we prove that the algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is finitely generated. The main idea is to consider a vertex operator subalgebra $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{H}^+$ such that $\mathcal{H}^+$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible modules for $\mathcal{W}$. We use a result from [DN1] to show that the image $A[\mathcal{W}]$ of $\mathcal{W}$ in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is finitely generated. Finally we determine a finite set of generators for the image of each irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-submodule in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ as a left or right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module.

For convenience, we sometimes identify an element u in a vertex operator algebra V with its image $[u] = u + O(V)$ in $A(V)$ if there is no confusion arising.

4.1 A generating set of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$

Let $\mathcal{H}_a$ be the vertex operator subalgebra algebra (with Virasoro element ω_a) associated to the 1-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}h_a$. Then the automorphism θ of $\mathcal{H}$ induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{H}_a$ denoted by the same symbol θ and $\mathcal{H}_a$ decomposes into direct sum of the ± 1-eigenspaces of θ;

$$\mathcal{H}_a = \mathcal{H}_a^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_a^-.$$

As a result, $\mathcal{H}$ decomposes into

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \subset \{1,\dots,\ell\}} \mathcal{W}^\alpha$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}^\alpha = \mathcal{H}_1^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell^{\varepsilon_\ell}$$

such that $\varepsilon_i = -$ if $i \in \alpha$ and $\varepsilon_i = +$ if $i \notin \alpha$.

For convenience, we also write $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^\emptyset = \mathcal{H}_1^+ \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell^+$. Let P be the collection of all subsets of $\{1, ..., \ell\}$ with even cardinalities. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^+ = \oplus_{\alpha \in P} \mathcal{W}^\alpha,$$

the $\mathcal{W}$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}^+$ and each $\mathcal{W}^\alpha$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{W}$-submodule of $\mathcal{H}^+$. For a subspace W of $\mathcal{H}^+$ we denote the image of W in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ by $A[W]$. That is, $A[W] = \{w + O(\mathcal{H}^+) | w \in W\}$. Then

$$A(\mathcal{H}^+) = \oplus_{\alpha \in P} A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha],$$

$A[\mathcal{W}]$ is a subalgebra of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and each $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ is an $A[\mathcal{W}]$-bimodule of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

The main purpose in this subsection is to find a set of generators of each $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ as a left or right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module.

We first find a set of generators for the algebra $A[\mathcal{W}]$. We need the following Lemma from [DMZ].

Lemma 4.1.1. *Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be vertex operator algebras. Then the linear map*

$$F : [v_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [v_n] \longmapsto [v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n]$$

from $A(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(V_n)$ to $A(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n)$ is an isomorphism of associative algebras.

Let $J_a = h_a(-1)^4\mathbf{1} - 2h_a(-3)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{3}{2}h_a(-2)^2\mathbf{1}$. Then the following lemma was shown in [DN1].

Lemma 4.1.2. *For $a = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$, $A(\mathcal{H}_a^+)$ is generated by ω_a and J_a.*

Corollary 4.1.3. *The $A[\mathcal{W}]$ is generated by ω_a and J_a for $a = 1, \ldots, \ell$. In particular, $A[\mathcal{W}]$ is a commutative subalgebra of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1.1 the composition of the linear map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F: & A(\mathcal{H}_1^+) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(\mathcal{H}_\ell^+) & \longrightarrow & A(\mathcal{H}_1^+ \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell^+) = \mathcal{W}/O(\mathcal{W}) \\ & [v_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [v_n] & \longmapsto & [v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n] \end{array}$$

and the canonical map $\iota : A(\mathcal{H}_1^+ \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell^+) \longrightarrow A[\mathcal{W}]$ which sends $u + O(\mathcal{W})$ to $u + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is a surjective homomorphism. Then Lemma 4.1.2 shows that $A[\mathcal{W}]$ is generated by ω_a and J_a for $a = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. □

Next, we consider $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ with $\alpha = \{a, b\}$ for distinct a, b, $(1 \leq a, b \leq \ell)$. For positive integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we set $S_{ab}(m, n) = h_a(-m)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ be the linear subspace spanned by $S_{ab}(m, n) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$.

Lemma 4.1.4. *We have $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha] = \mathcal{S}_{ab}A[\mathcal{W}]$ for $\alpha = \{a, b\}$. That is, as a right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module, $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ is generated by $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{W}^\alpha$ is spanned by $S_{ab}(m, n; u) = h_a(-m)h_b(-n)u$ where $u \in \mathcal{W}$ and $m, n \geq 1$ are integers. We can assume that u is a monomial $u = h_{a_1}(-n_1) \cdots h_{a_r}(-n_r)\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathcal{W}$. For such u we define the length $\ell(u)$ to be r. As usual we define $\ell(\mathbf{1}) = 0$.

We prove by induction on the length of monomial $u \in \mathcal{W}$ that $S_{ab}(m,n;u) + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}A[\mathcal{W}]$. If $\ell(u)=0$, then $S_{ab}(m,n;u) = S_{ab}(m,n)$ and it is clear.

Suppose the lemma is true for all monomials with lengths strictly less than N. Let $u \in \mathcal{W}$ with the length $\ell(u) = N$. Recall that the component operator $S_{ab}(m,n)_i$ of $Y(S_{ab}(m,n),z)$ is defined by

$$Y(S_{ab}(m,n),z) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} S_{ab}(m,n)_i z^{-i-1}.$$

It follows from (2.2.1) that

$$S_{ab}(m,n)_{i-1} = \sum_{\substack{r+s=i-m-n \\ r\geq 0 \text{ or } r\leq -m \\ s\geq 0 \text{ or } s\leq -n}} d_{rm}d_{sn} \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, h_a(r)h_b(s) \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\,, \quad d_{rm} = \binom{-r-1}{m-1}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now we compute the $*$ product

$$S_{ab}(m,n) * u = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{i} S_{ab}(m,n)_{i-1}u.$$

Note that if $r \leq -m$ and $s \leq -n$, then $r+s \leq -m-n$. Therefore, if $i=0$, then

$$S_{ab}(m,n)_{-1}u = S_{ab}(m,n;u) + \sum_{\substack{r+s=-m-n \\ r\geq 0 \text{ or } s\geq 0}} d_{rm}d_{sn} \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, h_a(r)h_b(s) \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, u,$$

and if $i>0$, then we see either $r \geq 0$ or $s \geq 0$, namely,

$$S_{ab}(m,n)_{i-1}u = \sum_{\substack{r+s=i-m-n \\ r\geq 0 \text{ or } s\geq 0}} d_{rm}d_{sn} \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, h_a(r)h_b(s) \,{}^{\circ}_{\circ}\, u.$$

Hence we have $S_{ab}(m,n)*u = S_{ab}(m,n;u)+w$ where $w = \sum_{r,s\geq 1} h_a(-r)h_b(-s)u^{r,s}$ and where $u^{r,s} \in \mathcal{W}$, $\ell(u^{r,s}) < N$. Then by the induction hypothesis $w + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}A[\mathcal{W}]$. As a result, we have $S_{ab}(m,n;u) + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}A[\mathcal{W}]$. □

The following proposition is similar to Lemma 4.1.4.

Proposition 4.1.5. *We have $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha] = A[\mathcal{W}]\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ for $\alpha = \{a,b\}$. That is, as a left $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module, $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ is generated by $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove $\mathcal{S}_{ab}A[\mathcal{W}] \subset A[\mathcal{W}]\mathcal{S}_{ab}$. Recall the vector $S_{ab}(m,n;u)$ from the proof of Lemma 4.1.4. We also use induction on the length of the monomial u. If

$\ell(u) = 0$, it is clear. Let N be a positive integer and suppose that the claim is true for all monomials $u \in \mathcal{W}$ with lengths strictly less than N. Now, consider $S_{ab}(m, n; u)$ for $u \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\ell(u) = N$. Proposition 3.1.2 (iii) shows

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{ab}(m,n) * u - u * S_{ab}(m,n) \\ &\sim \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \left((1+z)^{m+n-1} Y(S_{ab}(m,n), z)u\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-1} \binom{m+n-1}{i} S_{ab}(m,n)_i u. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 4.1.4 we see that

$$S_{ab}(m,n)_i u = \sum_{r,s \geq 1} h_a(-r) h_b(-s) u^{r,s} \quad \text{for} \quad i \geq 0$$

where $u^{r,s} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\ell(u^{r,s}) < N$. Thus each $S_{ab}(m,n)_i u + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is a linear combination of $S_{ab}(s,t) * v + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$'s where $s, t > 0$ and where $v \in \mathcal{W}$ are monomials with lengths less than N. By the induction hypothesis each $S_{ab}(m,n)_i u + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ lies in $A[\mathcal{W}]\mathcal{S}_{ab}$. Thus $S_{ab}(m,n) * u - u * S_{ab}(m,n) + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in A[\mathcal{W}]\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ and $S_{ab}(m,n) * u + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in A[\mathcal{W}]\mathcal{S}_{ab}$. ☐

We now turn our attention to $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ for general α. For this purpose we consider the elements of type

$$S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) = h_a(-m) h_b(-n) h_c(-r) h_d(-s) \mathbf{1}$$

where $m, n, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a, b, c, d are distinct.

Lemma 4.1.6. *For any $m, n, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) + O(\mathcal{H}^+) = (-1)^{m+n+r+s} S_{abcd}(1,1,1,1) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$$

Proof. Recall the definition of the circle operation

$$S_{ab}(m,n) \circ S_{cd}(r,s) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{k} S_{ab}(m,n)_{k-2} S_{cd}(r,s).$$

Also recall that

$$S_{ab}(m,n)_{k-2} = \sum_{\substack{i+j=k-1-m-n \\ i \geq 0 \text{ or } i \leq -m \\ j \geq 0 \text{ or } j \leq -n}} d_{im} d_{jn} \, {}^{\circ}_{\circ} h_a(i) h_b(j) {}^{\circ}_{\circ}, \quad d_{im} = \binom{-i-1}{m-1}$$

and note that if $k \geq 2$ then either $i \geq 0$ or $j \geq 0$ in the sum. This immediately gives $S_{ab}(m,n)_{k-2}S_{cd}(r,s) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. Thus we have $S_{ab}(m,n) \circ S_{cd}(r,s) = S_{ab}(m,n)_{-2}S_{cd}(r,s) + (m+n)S_{ab}(m,n)_{-1}S_{cd}(r,s)$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{ab}(m,n)_{-2}S_{cd}(r,s) = mS_{abcd}(m+1,n,r,s) + nS_{abcd}(m,n+1,r,s), \quad \text{and} \\ &S_{ab}(m,n)_{-1}S_{cd}(r,s) = S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(4.1.1) \quad mS_{abcd}(m+1,n,r,s) + nS_{abcd}(m,n+1,r,s) + (m+n)S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) \sim 0.$$

Similarly, when considering $S_{ac}(m,r) \circ S_{bd}(n,s)$ and $S_{bc}(n,r) \circ S_{ad}(m,s)$ respectively, we obtain

$$(4.1.2) \quad mS_{abcd}(m+1,n,r,s) + rS_{abcd}(m,n,r+1,s) + (m+r)S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) \sim 0$$

and

$$(4.1.3) \quad nS_{abcd}(m,n+1,r,s) + rS_{abcd}(m,n,r+1,s) + (n+r)S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) \sim 0.$$

Add (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) together and use (4.1.3) to yield

$$S_{abcd}(m+1,n,r,s) + S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) \sim 0.$$

Consequently we have $S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) \sim (-1)^{m-1}S_{abcd}(1,n,r,s)$. Since $S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s)$ is invariant under the permutations of $\{(a,m),(b,n),(c,r),(d,s)\}$, we can apply the same result to indices b, c and d and finish the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We denote $S_{ab} = S_{ab}(1,1)$ for short.

Remark 4.1.7. We see from Lemma 4.1.6 that

$$S_{abcd}(m,n,r,s) \sim (-1)^{m+n+r+s}S_{ab} * S_{cd}.$$

as $S_{abcd}(1,1,1,1) = S_{ab} * S_{cd}$.

Now let $\alpha = \{a_1, ..., a_{2k}\}$ be an even subset of $\{\,1, 2, \ldots, \ell\,\}$. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_k$ be a disjoint union of subsets α_i such that $|\alpha_i| = 2$. Set $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha_1} * S_{\alpha_2} * \cdots * S_{\alpha_k}$ where where $S_\alpha = S_{ab}$ for $\alpha = \{a,b\}$. Clearly S_α is independent of a choice of decomposition $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_k$. For integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, ($|\alpha| = 2k$), we set

$$S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) = h_{a_1}(-m_1)h_{a_2}(-m_2)\cdots h_{a_{|\alpha|}}(-m_{|\alpha|})\mathbf{1}.$$

Lemma 4.1.8. *Let* α *be a subset of* $\{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}$ *with the even cardinality* $|\alpha|$ *and* $|\alpha| \geq 4$. *Then,*

$$S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) + O(\mathcal{H}^+) = (-1)^{m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_{|\alpha|}} S_\alpha + O(\mathcal{H}^+).$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $|\alpha|$. When $|\alpha| = 4$, it is nothing but Remark 4.1.7. Let us suppose $|\alpha| \geq 6$ and decompose α as $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup \tilde{\alpha}$ where $\alpha_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_k$ with $|\alpha_r| = 2$ and $\alpha_r \cap \alpha_s = \emptyset$ for $r \neq s$.

Note that

$$S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) = S_{a_1 a_2}(m_1, m_2) * S_{\tilde{\alpha}}(m_3, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}).$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$S_{\tilde{\alpha}}(m_3, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) \sim (-1)^{m_3+\cdots+m_{|\alpha|}} S_{\tilde{\alpha}}.$$

So we have

$$S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) \sim (-1)^{m_3+\cdots+m_{|\alpha|}} (h_{a_1}(-m_1)h_{a_2}(-m_2)\mathbf{1}) * S_{\alpha_2} * \cdots * S_{\alpha_k}.$$

The proof is complete by the fact that

$$(h_{a_1}(-m_1)h_{a_2}(-m_2)\mathbf{1}) * S_{\alpha_2} = (-1)^{m_1+m_2} S_{\alpha_1} * S_{\alpha_2},$$

which follows from either Remark 4.1.7 or the induction hypothesis. □

We now use Lemma 4.1.8 to prove a result similar to Proposition 4.1.5.

Proposition 4.1.9. *If* $|\alpha| \geq 4$ *then as a left* $A[\mathcal{W}]$*-module or a right* $A[\mathcal{W}]$*-module,* $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ *is generated by* S_α.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1.4. For any $u \in \mathcal{W}$ and positive integers $m_1, ..., m_{|\alpha|}$, set $S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}; u) = h_{a_1}(-m_1)h_{a_2}(-m_2)\cdots h_{a_{|\alpha|}}(-m_{|\alpha|})u$. Then $\mathcal{W}^\alpha$ is spanned by all possible $S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}; u)$. We again use induction on $\ell(u)$ for a monomial u to show that $S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}; u) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ lies in Γ_α and Γ'_α which are the left and right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-modules generated by $S_\alpha + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, respectively.

If $\ell(u) = 0$ then by Lemma 4.1.8,

$$S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}; u) = S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) \sim (-1)^{m_1+\cdots+m_{|\alpha|}} S_\alpha$$

lies in Γ'_α and Γ_α.

If $\ell(u) > 0$ it is clear that

$$S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) * u = S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}; u) + w$$

where

$$w = \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+} S_\alpha(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{|\alpha|}) u_{n_1,\ldots,n_{|\alpha|}}, \quad u_{n_1,\ldots,n_{|\alpha|}} \in \mathcal{W}$$

and $\ell(u_{n_1,\ldots,n_{|\alpha|}}) < \ell(u)$. Thus by the induction hypothesis, $w + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ lies in both Γ'_α and Γ_α.

Lemma 4.1.8 shows

$$S_\alpha(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{|\alpha|}) * u + O(\mathcal{H}^+) = (-1)^{m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_{|\alpha|}} S_\alpha * u + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$$

is an element of Γ'_α.

It remains to show that $S_\alpha * u + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \Gamma_\alpha$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.1.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha * u - u * S_\alpha &\sim \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \left((1+z)^{|\alpha|-1} Y(S_\alpha, z) u\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{|\alpha|-1} \binom{|\alpha|-1}{i} (S_\alpha)_i u. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(S_\alpha)_i = \sum_{\substack{m_1,\ldots,m_{|\alpha|} \\ \sum m_s = -|\alpha|+i+1}} {}^\circ_\circ\, h_{a_1}(m_1) h_{a_2}(m_2) \cdots h_{a_{|\alpha|}}(m_{|\alpha|}) \,{}^\circ_\circ\, .$$

Since $i \geq 0$ there is at least one m_s positive. Thus $(S_\alpha)_i u$ is a linear combination of vectors like $h_{a_1}(n_1) h_{a_2}(n_2) \cdots h_{a_{|\alpha|}}(n_{|\alpha|}) v$ for negative n_s and a monomial $v \in \mathcal{W}$ whose length is less than the length of u. By the induction hypothesis, $(S_\alpha)_i u + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \Gamma_\alpha$. Thus $S_\alpha * u + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \Gamma_\alpha$, as required. □

Recall that P is the collection of subsets of $\{1, ..., \ell\}$ of even cardinalities, and that for $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_k \in P$, $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha_1} * \cdots * S_{\alpha_k}$. Combining Lemma 4.1.4, Propositions 4.1.5, 4.1.9 and Corollary 4.1.3 we have

Proposition 4.1.10. *The algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is generated by ω_a, J_a and $h_a(-m)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}$ for $a, b = 1, \ldots, \ell$ with $a \neq b$ and positive integers m, n. In fact, as a left or right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module, $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ is generated by $h_a(-m)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}$ for all $m, n > 0$ if $\alpha = \{a, b\}$ and is generated by S_α if $|\alpha| \geq 4$.*

Remark 4.1.11. In fact we can get a finite set of generators for $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$. By Proposition 3.2.1 (iii),

$$\omega_a * S_{ab}(m,n) - S_{ab}(m,n) * \omega_a \sim mS_{ab}(m+1,n) + mS_{ab}(m,n).$$

for distinct a, b and $m, n > 0$. Thus $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ is a generated by $S_\alpha(1,1) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ as an $A[\mathcal{W}]$-bimodule for $\alpha = \{a, b\}$. In particular, the algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is generated by ω_a and J_a and $h_a(-1)h_b(-1)\mathbf{1}$ for $a, b = 1, \ldots, \ell$ with $a \neq b$.

In the next two subsections we will find a set of finite generators for each $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ as a left or right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module for all α.

4.2 Consequences of the circle relation

We derive several relations in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ from the circle relations. These relations will play important roles in the next subsection.

Recall that $S_{ab}(m,n) = h_a(-m)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}$ for distinct a, b and positive integers m, n, and that $S_{ab} = S_{ab}(1,1)$.

Lemma 4.2.1. *For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(m,n) = 2S_{ab}(m,n) * \omega_a - 2mS_{ab}(m+2,n) - 2mS_{ab}(m+1,n).$$

Proof. Proposition 3.2.1 (ii) shows

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ab}(m,n) * \omega_a &= (L_a(-2) + L_a(-1))S_{ab}(m,n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(m,n) + mS_{ab}(m+2,n) + mS_{ab}(m+1,n). \end{aligned}$$

□

For a while, we set $u = h_a(-1)^2\mathbf{1}$ and $v = h_a(-1)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}$. We compute the result of the circle relation $u \circ v$ as follows. Since $u_i = 2L_a(i-1)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} u_{-2}v &= 2h_a(-2)h_a(-1)^2h_b(-n)\mathbf{1} + 2h_a(-4)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}, \\ u_{-1}v &= h_a(-1)^3h_b(-n)\mathbf{1} + 2h_a(-3)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}, \quad \text{and} \\ u_0v &= 2h_a(-2)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.1.2 (iv), $L(-1)(h_a(-1)^3h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}) + L(0)(h_a(-1)^3h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}) \sim 0$, i.e.,

$$3h_a(-1)^2h_a(-2)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1} + nh_a(-1)^3h_b(-n-1)\mathbf{1} + (n+3)h_a(-1)^3h_b(-n)\mathbf{1} \sim 0.$$

Thus

$$u_{-2}v \sim -\frac{2n}{3}h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1,n+1) - \frac{2(n+3)}{3}h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1,n) + 2S_{ab}(4,n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u \circ v \sim -\frac{2n}{3}h_a(-1)^2\big(S_{ab}(1,n+1) + S_{ab}(1,n)\big) \\ + 2S_{ab}(4,n) + 4S_{ab}(3,n) + 2S_{ab}(2,n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.1}$$

Using Lemma 4.2.1 together with (4.2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2n\big(S_{ab}(1,n+1) + S_{ab}(1,n)\big) * \omega_a \sim & 2nS_{ab}(3,n+1) + 3S_{ab}(4,n) + (2n+6)S_{ab}(3,n) \\ & + 2nS_{ab}(2,n+1) + (2n+3)S_{ab}(2,n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved:

Lemma 4.2.2. *For distinct a and b,*

$$\begin{aligned} \big(S_{ab}(1,m+1) + S_{ab}(1,m)\big) * \omega_a \sim & S_{ab}(3,m+1) + \frac{3}{2m}S_{ab}(4,m) + \frac{m+3}{m}S_{ab}(3,m) \\ & + S_{ab}(2,m+1) + \frac{2m+3}{2n}S_{ab}(2,m). \end{aligned}$$

We also use the same argument to prove the next two lemmas. In fact, the circle relation between $h_a(-1)h_b(-1)\mathbf{1}$ and $h_a(-1)h_c(-m)\mathbf{1}$ for distinct a, b and c with the help of relations

$$\begin{aligned} & L(-1)(h_a(-1)^2 S_{bc}(1,m)) + L(0)(h_a(-1)^2 S_{bc}(1,m)) \sim 0 \quad \text{and} \\ & L(-1)(S_{bc}(1,m)) + L(0)(S_{bc}(1,m)) \sim 0 \end{aligned}$$

gives

Lemma 4.2.3. *For distinct a, b and c,*

$$\omega_a * \big(S_{bc}(1,m+1) + S_{bc}(1,m)\big) \sim \frac{1}{2m}S_{bc}(4,m) + \frac{1}{m}S_{bc}(3,m) + \frac{1}{2m}S_{bc}(2,m).$$

The circle relation between $h_a(-1)h_b(-1)\mathbf{1}$ and $h_a(-1)h_b(-m)\mathbf{1}$ with the help of relations

$$\begin{aligned} & L(-1)(h_a(-1)^2 S_{bb}(1,m)) + L(0)(h_a(-1)^2 S_{bb}(1,m)) \sim 0, \\ & L(-1)(S_{bb}(1,m)) + L(0)(S_{bb}(1,m)) \sim 0 \end{aligned}$$

yields

Lemma 4.2.4. *For distinct a and b,*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_a * \big(S_{bb}(1,m+1)+S_{bb}(1,m)\big) \sim \frac{1}{2}\big(S_{aa}(1,m+3)+2S_{aa}(1,m+2)+S_{aa}(1,m+1)\big)\\ +\frac{1}{2m}\big(S_{bb}(4,m)+2S_{bb}(3,m)+S_{bb}(2,m)\big).\end{aligned}$$

4.3 Finiteness

We have already proved in 4.1 that $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ is generated by S_α as a left or right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module if $|\alpha| \geq 4$. In this subsection we show that $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ in the case $\alpha = \{a,b\}$ is generated by $h_a(-1)h_b(-m)\mathbf{1}$ ($m = 1, ..., 5$) as a left or right $A[\mathcal{W}]$-module.

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ is spanned by $h_a(-m)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1} + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for positive $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the results from the previous subsection we show that $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ is 5 dimensional and is spanned by $h_a(-1)h_b(-m) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $m = 1, ..., 5$.

Let $u = h_a(-1)h_b(-1)\mathbf{1} = S_{ab}$ and $v = h_a(-1)^4\mathbf{1}$. In the following we seek the consequence of the circle relation $u \circ v$, which turns out to be a relation in the weight 7 space.

By direct calculations, we see

$$u_{-2}v = h_a(-1)^5h_b(-2)\mathbf{1} + h_a(-2)h_a(-1)^4h_b(-1)\mathbf{1} + 4h_a(-1)^3h_b(-4)\mathbf{1}, \tag{4.3.1}$$

$$u_{-1}v = h_a(-1)^5h_b(-1)\mathbf{1} + 4h_a(-1)^3h_b(-3)\mathbf{1}, \tag{4.3.2}$$

$$u_0v = 4h_a(-1)^3h_b(-2)\mathbf{1}. \tag{4.3.3}$$

The important feature of the circle relation $u \circ v$ is that every term appeared in $u \circ v$ is of the form $h_a(-1)^{2k}S_{ab}(m,n)\mathbf{1}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k = 1, 2$. The case $k = 1$ was already considered in Lemma 4.2.1 and the following relation was obtained:

$$h_a(-1)^2S_{ab}(m,n) = 2S_{ab}(m,n) * \omega_a - 2mS_{ab}(m+2,n) - 2mS_{ab}(m+1,n). \tag{4.3.4}$$

Now we turn to the case $k = 2$.

Lemma 4.3.1. *For distinct a and b,*

$$\begin{aligned}&h_a(-1)^4S_{ab}(1,m)\\ &\sim 4S_{ab}(1,m) * \omega_a^2\\ &\quad - \big(16S_{ab}(3,m) + 4S_{ab}(2,m) - 4mS_{ab}(1,m+1) - 4(m+3)S_{ab}(1,m)\big) * \omega_a\\ &\quad + 36S_{ab}(5,m) + 36S_{ab}(4,m) - 4mS_{ab}(3,m+1)\\ &\quad - 4mS_{ab}(2,m+1) - 4(m+3)S_{ab}(3,m) - 4(m+3)S_{ab}(2,m).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $w = h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, m)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} w * \omega_a &\sim (L_a(-2) + L_a(-1))w \\ &= \frac{1}{2} h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, m) + 3h_a(-3)h_a(-1)^2 h_b(-m)\mathbf{1} + L_a(-1)w, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, m) \sim 2w * \omega_a - 6h_a(-3)h_a(-1)^2 h_b(-m)\mathbf{1} - 2L_a(-1)w. \tag{4.3.5}$$

Note that $L(-1)w = (L_a(-1) + L_b(-1))w \sim -L(0)w$, i.e.,

$$L_a(-1)w \sim -mh_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, m + 1) - (m + 3)h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, m). \tag{4.3.6}$$

Combining (4.3.5) and (4.3.6) together proves

$$\begin{aligned} h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, m) \sim\ & 2h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, m) * \omega_a - 6h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(3, m) \\ & + 2mh_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, m + 1) + 2(m + 3)h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, m). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (4.3.4) we get the desired result. □

Note that each term in (4.3.1), (4.3.2) and (4.3.3) except $h_a(-2)h_a(-1)^4 h_b(-1)\mathbf{1}$ has the form $h_a(-1)^{2k} S_{ab}(1, m)$ for $k = 1, 2$. Using the relation $L(-1)(h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 1)) + L(0)(h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 1)) \sim 0$, i.e.,

$$h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(2, 1) \sim -\frac{1}{5} h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 2) - \frac{6}{5} h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 1),$$

we see that $h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(2, 1)$ is a linear combination of the elements $S_{ab}(m, n) * \omega_a^i$. Thus we have by (4.3.4) and Lemma 4.3.1:

Lemma 4.3.2. *Let $u = S_{ab}(1, 1)$ and $v = h_a(-1)^4\mathbf{1}$. Then $u_{-j}v + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ is a linear combination of $S_{ab}(m, n) * \omega_a^i + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ where $0 \le i \le 2$ and $m + n \le 5 + j - 2i$. In particular, $u \circ v$ is a linear combination of the elements $S_{ab}(m, n) * \omega_a^i$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ where $0 \le i \le 2$ and $m + n \le 7 - 2i$.*

For a positive integer k, let $\mathcal{S}_{ab}(k)$ be the span of $S_{ab}(m, n) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $m + n \le k + 1$.

Lemma 4.3.3. *The $\mathcal{S}_{ab}(k)$ is spanned by $\{S_{ab}(1, m) + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \mid 1 \le m \le k\}$. In particular, the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_{ab}(k)$ is less than or equal to k.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on k. When $k = 1$, it is clear. Let $k \geq 2$ and $m, n > 0$ with $m + n = k + 1$. Then the relation $L(-1)S_{ab}(m, n) + L(0)S_{ab}(m, n) \sim 0$ gives

$$mS_{ab}(m + 1, n) + nS_{ab}(m, n + 1) + (m + n)S_{ab}(m, n) \sim 0,$$

which implies

$$mS_{ab}(m + 1, n) + nS_{ab}(m, n + 1) \equiv 0 \mod \mathcal{S}_{ab}(k - 1).$$

Thus

$$S_{ab}(n, k - n + 1) \equiv (-1)^{n-1}\binom{k-1}{n-1}S_{ab}(1, k) \mod \mathcal{S}_{ab}(k - 1). \tag{4.3.7}$$

This shows that $\dim \mathcal{S}_{ab}(k)/\mathcal{S}_{ab}(k - 1) \leq 1$. The induction hypothesis then yields that $\mathcal{S}_{ab}(k)$ is spanned by $\{S_{ab}(1, m) + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \,|\, 1 \leq m \leq k\}$. $\square$

We go back to the circle relation $u \circ v$ for $u = S_{ab}(1, 1)$ and $v = h_a(-1)^4\mathbf{1}$. By Lemmas 4.3.2 and 4.3.3, we can write $u \circ v$ as

$$u \circ v \sim \sum_{\substack{j=0,1,2 \\ m \leq 6-2j}} x_{mj}S_{ab}(1, m) * \omega_a^j$$

with some scalars x_{mj}. Using Lemma 4.2.2 and Lemma 4.3.3 proves

$$u \circ v \sim \sum_{i=1,2} x_iS_{ab}(1, 1) * \omega_a^i + \sum_{m=1}^{6} y_mS_{ab}(1, m) \tag{4.3.8}$$

with some scalars x_i and y_m. We call this expression of $u \circ v$ the *normal form.* The same process also shows that each term (a homogeneous vector) occurring in (4.3.1)-(4.3.3) has a normal form like (4.3.8) and the weights of homogeneous vectors in the normal form are less than or equal to the weight of the original term.

Since $u \circ v$ acts trivially on each top level of $\mathcal{H}^+$-modules, the right hand side of (4.3.8) acts also trivially on each top level of $\mathcal{H}^+$-modules. Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_ih_i \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then the top level of $\mathcal{H}^+$-module $M(1, \lambda)$ is one dimensional. Now $S_{ab}(1, m)$ acts on the top level of $M(1, \lambda)$ as $S_{ab}(1, m) = (-1)^{m+1}\lambda_a\lambda_b$ and ω_a acts on this space as $\omega_a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2$: See Table 1 at the end of this subsection. Then the evaluation of the right hand side of (4.3.8) on the top level of this module shows

$$\sum_{i=1,2} 2^{-i}x_i\lambda_a^{2i+1}\lambda_b + \sum_{m=1}^{6} y_m(-1)^m\lambda_a\lambda_b = 0.$$

Since λ is arbitrary we immediately see that $x_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and obtain the relation $\sum_{m=1}^{6} y_m S_{ab}(1, m) \sim 0$.

Now, suppose that there exists m such that $y_m \neq 0$. Then we have a (nontrivial) relation among $S_{ab}(1, m)$, $(1 \leq m \leq 6)$. The coefficients y_m might be zero for all m. However, we are able to show that $y_6 \neq 0$.

From Lemma 4.3.2 and the proof of Lemma 4.3.3, there is no contribution to $S_{ab}(1, 6)$ in the normal form from either $u_{-1}v$ or $u_0 v$. To find y_6, it is enough to consider the term $u_{-2}v$ in $u \circ v$. We define an equivalence relation $\gtrsim$ on $\mathcal{H}^+$ such that $w_1 \gtrsim w_2$ if and only if there exists v whose homogeneous components have weight less than 7 such that $w_1 - w_2 \sim v$.

Let us recall that

$$u_{-2}v = h_a(-1)^5 h_b(-2)\mathbf{1} + h_a(-2)h_a(-1)^4 h_b(-1)\mathbf{1} + 4h_a(-1)^3 h_b(-4)\mathbf{1}, \quad \text{and}$$
$$h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(2, 1) \sim -\frac{1}{5} h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 2) - \frac{6}{5} h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 1).$$

Then

$$u_{-2}v \gtrsim \frac{4}{5} h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 2) + 4h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, 4). \tag{4.3.9}$$

From Lemma 4.3.1 we see that

$$h_a(-1)^4 S_{ab}(1, 2) \gtrsim 4S_{ab}(1, 2) * \omega_a^2 - 16S_{ab}(3, 2) * \omega_a + 36S_{ab}(5, 2). \tag{4.3.10}$$

By (4.3.4) we know that

$$h_a(-1)^2 S_{ab}(1, 4) \gtrsim 2S_{ab}(1, 4) * \omega_a - 2S_{ab}(3, 4). \tag{4.3.11}$$

Using (4.3.9), (4.3.10) and (4.3.11) shows

$$\begin{aligned} u_{-2}v \gtrsim\ & \frac{16}{5} S_{ab}(1, 2) * \omega_a^2 \\ & + \big(8S_{ab}(1, 4) - \frac{64}{5} S_{ab}(3, 2)\big) * \omega_a + \frac{144}{5} S_{ab}(5, 2) - 8S_{ab}(3, 4). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.12}$$

Using the relation $S_{ab}(1, 2) * \omega_a^2 \gtrsim \big(S_{ab}(3, 2) + \frac{3}{2} S_{ab}(4, 1)\big) * \omega_a$ which follows from Lemma 4.2.2, we reduce (4.3.12) to

$$\begin{aligned} u_{-2}v \gtrsim\ & \big(-\frac{48}{5} S_{ab}(3, 2) + \frac{24}{5} S_{ab}(4, 1) + 8S_{ab}(1, 4)\big) * \omega_a \\ & + \frac{144}{5} S_{ab}(5, 2) - 8S_{ab}(3, 4) \end{aligned}$$

which, by (4.3.7), is equivalent to

$$u_{-2}v \gtrsim -\frac{128}{5}S_{ab}(1,4)*\omega_a + \frac{144}{5}S_{ab}(5,2) - 8S_{ab}(3,4).$$

To find y_6, we still need to reduce the term $S_{ab}(1,4)$ into the normal form. Again by using Lemma 4.2.2 we see that

$$S_{ab}(1,4)*\omega_a \gtrsim S_{ab}(3,4) + \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}(4,3).$$

Finally we have $u_{-2}v \gtrsim -64S_{ab}(1,6)$ by (4.3.7). This shows that $y_6 = -64$.

Thus we have proved:

Lemma 4.3.4. *In $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$, the element $S_{ab}(1,6)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is a linear combination of the elements $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$.*

Now we prove one of the important results in this paper. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ is spanned by all $S_{ab}(m,n)$, $(m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$.

Proposition 4.3.5. *We have $\dim \mathcal{S}_{ab} = 5$ with a basis $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$.*

Proof. We first prove $\dim A(\mathcal{S}_{ab}) \le 5$. It is enough to show that $S_{ab}(m,n)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $m+n \ge 7$ is expressed as a linear combination of $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$. Note from Proposition 3.2.1 (iii) that

$$mS_{ab}(1,m+1) \sim [\omega_b, S_{ab}(1,m)] - mS_{ab}(1,m) \tag{4.3.13}$$

for any positive integer m where $[\omega_b, S_{ab}(1,m)] = \omega_b * S_{ab}(1,m) - S_{ab}(1,m)*\omega_b$. Since $S_{ab}(1,6)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is a linear combination of $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$ by Lemma 4.3.4, the element $[\omega_b, S_{ab}(1,5)]$ is also a linear combination of $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $m = 1,\ldots,5$. We also see from (4.3.13) that the space spanned by $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$ is closed under the bracket $[\omega_b + O(\mathcal{H}^+), \cdot\,]$.

We now prove by induction on positive integer n greater than or equal to 6 that $S_{ab}(1,n)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is a linear combination of $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$. Suppose that this is true for $6,\ldots,n$. Then since $S_{ab}(1,n)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is a linear combination of $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$. From the discussion in the previous paragraph, $[\omega_b, S_{ab}(1,n)]+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is also a linear combination of $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \le m \le 5)$ and so is $S_{ab}(1,n+1)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

It remains to show that $S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \leq m \leq 5)$ are linearly independent. Suppose that there exist scalars x_m, $(1 \leq m \leq 5)$ such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{5} x_m S_{ab}(1,m)+O(\mathcal{H}^+)=0. \tag{4.3.14}$$

We have to prove that $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_5 = 0$. This is achieved by the following evaluation method. We evaluate (4.3.14) on the top levels of irreducible modules $\mathcal{H}^-$, $M(1,\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$. Consider the basis $\{h_a(-1)\mathbf{1} | a = 1,\ldots,\ell\}$ for $\mathcal{H}^-$ and the basis $\{h_a(-1/2)\mathbf{1} | a = 1,\ldots,\ell\}$ for $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$. Let E_{ab} be the corresponding matrix element with respect to the basis. Also write $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i h_i$. Then the action of $S_{ab}(1,m)$, $(1 \leq m \leq 5)$ on the top levels of $\mathcal{H}^-$, $M(1,\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$ are listed in Table 1; $S_{ab}(1,m)$ acts trivially on the top levels of the modules $\mathcal{H}^+$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^+$.

	$\mathcal{H}^-$	$M(1,\lambda)$	$\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$
$S_{ab}(1,1)$	$E_{ab}+E_{ba}$	$\lambda_a\lambda_b$	$\frac{1}{2}(E_{ab}+E_{ba})$
$S_{ab}(1,2)$	$-2E_{ab}$	$-\lambda_a\lambda_b$	$-\frac{3}{4}E_{ab}-\frac{1}{4}E_{ba}$
$S_{ab}(1,3)$	$3E_{ab}$	$\lambda_a\lambda_b$	$\frac{15}{16}E_{ab}+\frac{3}{16}E_{ba}$
$S_{ab}(1,4)$	$-4E_{ab}$	$-\lambda_a\lambda_b$	$-\frac{35}{32}E_{ab}-\frac{5}{32}E_{ba}$
$S_{ab}(1,5)$	$5E_{ab}$	$\lambda_a\lambda_b$	$\frac{315}{256}E_{ab}+\frac{35}{256}E_{ba}$

Table 1

Then we have the linear system

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_1 = 0,\\
&-2x_2+3x_3-4x_4+5x_5=0,\\
&-x_2+x_3-x_4+x_5=0,\\
&-\frac{3}{4}x_2+\frac{15}{16}x_3-\frac{35}{32}x_4+\frac{315}{256}x_5=0,\\
&-\frac{1}{4}x_2+\frac{3}{16}x_3-\frac{5}{32}x_4+\frac{35}{256}x_5=0.
\end{aligned}$$

The only solution to this linear system is $x_1 = \cdots = x_5 = 0$, as expected. $\square$

Combining Propositions 4.1.10 and 4.3.5 gives

Corollary 4.3.6. *Let* $\alpha = \{a, b\}$, $(a \neq b)$. *Then as a left or right* $A[\mathcal{W}]$*-module,* $A[\mathcal{W}^\alpha]$ *is generated by* $S_{ab}(1, m)$, $(1 \leq m \leq 5)$.

The importance of the elements of $S_{ab}(1, m)$, $(1 \leq m \leq 5)$ will become clear in the next section.

5 Matrix subalgebras

In this section we use the elements $S_{ab}(1, m) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $(1 \leq m \leq 5)$ to construct two ideals in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$. Both are isomorphic to the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix algebra. These two ideals characterize the modules $\mathcal{H}^-$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$.

5.1 Matrix elements

Motivated by Table 1, we define elements $E^u_{ab}, \bar{E}^u_{ba}, E^t_{ab}, \bar{E}^t_{ba}$ and Λ_{ab} as follows; for distinct a and b, set

$$\begin{aligned}
E^u_{ab} &= 5S_{ab}(1,2) + 25S_{ab}(1,3) + 36S_{ab}(1,4) + 16S_{ab}(1,5),\\
\bar{E}^u_{ba} &= S_{ab}(1,1) + 14S_{ab}(1,2) + 41S_{ab}(1,3) + 44S_{ab}(1,4) + 16S_{ab}(1,5),\\
E^t_{ab} &= -16\big(3S_{ab}(1,2) + 14S_{ab}(1,3) + 19S_{ab}(1,4) + 8S_{ab}(1,5)\big),\\
\bar{E}^t_{ba} &= -16\big(5S_{ab}(1,2) + 18S_{ab}(1,3) + 21S_{ab}(1,4) + 8S_{ab}(1,5)\big),\\
\Lambda_{ab} &= 45S_{ab}(1,2) + 190S_{ab}(1,3) + 240S_{ab}(1,4) + 96S_{ab}(1,5).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1.1}$$

The action of these elements on the top levels of of irreducible modules $\mathcal{H}^-$, $M(1, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$ is listed in Table 2.

	$\mathcal{H}^-$	$M(1,\lambda)$	$\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$
E^u_{ab}	E_{ab}	0	0
$\bar{E}^u_{ba}$	E_{ba}	0	0
E^t_{ab}	0	0	E_{ab}
$\bar{E}^t_{ba}$	0	0	E_{ba}
Λ_{ab}	0	$\lambda_a\lambda_b$	0

Table 2

Recall that $[u] = u + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Lemma 5.1.1. *For distinct a and b,*

$$[E^u_{ba}] = [\bar{E}^u_{ba}], \quad [E^t_{ba}] = [\bar{E}^t_{ba}] \quad \textit{and} \quad [\Lambda_{ab}] = [\Lambda_{ba}].$$

Proof. One could prove the lemma using the fact that $h_a(-n)h_b(-1)\mathbf{1}$ is a linear combination of the elements $S_{ab}(1, m)$'s with a lengthy computation. Here we give a short proof by evaluation method discussed in the proof of Proposition 4.3.5.

Consider $D_{ab} = E^u_{ba} - \bar{E}^u_{ba}$ or $E^t_{ba} - \bar{E}^t_{ba}$ or $\Lambda_{ab} - \Lambda_{ba}$. Clearly D_{ab} is a linear combination of $S_{ab}(1, m)$ for $m = 1, \ldots, 5$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and acts trivially on top levels of irreducible modules in Table 2. The proof of (4.3.14) shows that $D_{ab} = 0$. $\square$

Thanks to Lemma 5.1.1, we use E^u_{ba} and E^t_{ba} instead of $\bar{E}^u_{ba}$ and $\bar{E}^t_{ba}$.

Remark 5.1.2. Solving the linear system (5.1.1) for $S_{ab}(1, m)$'s gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{ab}(1,1) &= E^u_{ab} + E^u_{ba} + \Lambda_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}E^t_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}E^t_{ba},\\
S_{ab}(1,2) &= -2E^u_{ab} - \Lambda_{ab} - \frac{3}{4}E^t_{ab} - \frac{1}{4}E^t_{ba},\\
S_{ab}(1,3) &= 3E^u_{ab} + \Lambda_{ab} + \frac{15}{16}E^t_{ab} + \frac{3}{16}E^t_{ba},\\
S_{ab}(1,4) &= -4E^u_{ab} - \Lambda_{ab} - \frac{35}{32}E^t_{ab} - \frac{5}{32}E^t_{ba},\\
S_{ab}(1,5) &= 5E^u_{ab} + \Lambda_{ab} + \frac{315}{256}E^t_{ab} + \frac{35}{256}E^t_{ba}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1.2}$$

This is essentially Table 1.

Remark 5.1.3. By Remarks 4.1.11 and 5.1.2, $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is generated by $\omega_a, J_a, E^u_{ab}, E^t_{ab}$ and Λ_{ab} for $a, b = 1, ..., \ell$ and $a \neq b$.

5.2 Relations among ω_a, E^u_{ab} and E^t_{ab}

In this subsection we use the evaluation method developed in the preceding subsections to derive some relations among ω_a, E^u_{ab} and E^t_{ab}.

First we give the actions of ω_a and J_a on top levels of the known irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}^+$ in Table 3. Here we use I to denote the $\ell \times \ell$ identity matrix.

	$\mathcal{H}^+$	$\mathcal{H}^-$	$M(1,\lambda)$	$\mathcal{H}(\theta)^+$	$\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$
ω_a	0	E_{aa}	$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}I+\frac{1}{2}E_{aa}$
J_a	0	$-6E_{aa}$	$\lambda_a^4-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2$	$\frac{3}{128}$	$\frac{3}{128}I-\frac{3}{8}E_{aa}$

Table 3

For convenient we shall use E^*_{ab} for either E^u_{ab} or E^t_{ab}. Whenever E^*_{ab} appears in equalities, it is supposed to be the same element.

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ is the linear span of $h_a(-m)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ is 5-dimensional with a basis $\{[S_{ab}(1,m)]+O(\mathcal{H}^+)|m=1,\dots,5\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}^u_{ab}$ be the vector subspace of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ spanned by the elements $[E^u_{ab}],[E^u_{ba}]$ and $\mathcal{M}^t_{ab}$ be the vector subspace spanned by $[E^t_{ab}],[E^t_{ba}]$, and $\mathcal{M}_{ab}=\mathcal{M}^u_{ab}\oplus\mathcal{M}^t_{ab}$.

The following lemma is frequently used in this subsection.

Lemma 5.2.1. (i) *If an element $E\in\mathcal{S}_{ab}$ acts trivially on the top levels of $\mathcal{H}^\pm$, $M(1,\lambda)$, $\lambda(\neq 0)\in\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^\pm$, then $E=0$.*
(ii) *If an element $E\in\mathcal{M}_{ab}$ acts trivially on the top levels of the modules $\mathcal{H}^-$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$, then $E=0$.*

Proof. Write E as a linear combination of the elements $E^u_{ab}+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $E^u_{ba}+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $E^t_{ab}+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, $E^t_{ba}+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and $\Lambda_{ab}+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$. Then the result is immediate. □

Now we prove relations between ω_a and E^*_{bc} in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$. As we pointed out before, we sometimes identify $u\in\mathcal{H}^+$ with its image $[u]=u+O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ when the content is clear. For short we sometimes write xy for $x*y$ in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Lemma 5.2.2. *For any a and distinct b,c,*

$$\omega_a*E^u_{bc}=\delta_{ab}E^u_{bc},\quad E^u_{bc}*\omega_a=\delta_{ac}E^u_{bc}\quad \textit{and}$$
$$\omega_a*E^t_{bc}=(\frac{1}{16}+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab})E^t_{bc},\quad E^t_{bc}*\omega_a=(\frac{1}{16}+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ac})E^t_{bc}$$

in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Proof. Since all equalities in the lemma hold on any top levels of the modules $\mathcal{H}^-$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$, it suffices to prove by Lemma 5.2.1 that the left hand sides of the equalities belong to $\mathcal{M}_{ab}$.

First we consider the case that a, b and c are all distinct. Then by Lemma 4.2.3, Proposition 4.3.5 and Remark 5.1.2, we know that $\omega_a * E^*_{bc}$ is expressed as a linear combination of the elements $\omega_a * S_{bc}(1,1)$ and $E^u_{bc}, E^u_{cb}, E^t_{bc}, E^t_{cb}$ and Λ_{bc} modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$:

$$\omega_a * E^*_{bc} = x_0 \omega_a * S_{bc}(1,1) + x_1 E^u_{bc} + x_2 E^u_{cb} + x_3 E^t_{bc} + x_4 E^t_{cb} + x_5 \Lambda_{bc}. \tag{5.2.1}$$

for some scalars x_i. Evaluation of (5.2.1) on the top level of $M(1,\lambda)$ shows

$$\frac{1}{2} x_0 \lambda_a^2 \lambda_b \lambda_c + x_5 \lambda_b \lambda_c = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 \neq \lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i h_i \in \mathfrak{h},$$

which implies $x_0 = x_5 = 0$. Therefore $\omega_a * E^*_{bc}$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}_{bc}$. Further, since $[\omega_a, E^*_{bc}] \sim (L_a(0) + L_a(-1))E^*_{bc} = 0$, we have $E^*_{bc} * \omega_a \in \mathcal{M}_{bc}$.

Next we consider the case either $a = b$ or $a = c$. Lemma 4.2.2 and Remark 5.1.2 show that $E^*_{bc} * \omega_a$ is a linear combination of $S_{bc}(1,1) * \omega_a, E^u_{bc}, E^u_{cb}, E^t_{bc}, E^t_{cb}$ and Λ_{bc} modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$:

$$E^*_{bc} * \omega_a = x_0 S_{bc}(1,1) * \omega_a + x_1 E^u_{bc} + x_2 E^u_{cb} + x_3 E^t_{bc} + x_4 E^t_{cb} + x_5 \Lambda_{bc}$$

with some scalars x_i, $(0 \leq i \leq 5)$. The same evaluation in the previous paragraph shows $x_0 = x_5 = 0$, i.e., $E^*_{bc} \omega_a \in \mathcal{M}_{ab}$. Note that $\omega_a * E^*_{bc} = E^*_{bc} * \omega_a + [\omega_a, E^*_{bc}]$ and $[\omega_a, E^*_{bc}] \sim L_a(-1)E^*_{bc} + L_a(0)E^*_{bc}$. Since the space spanned by $S_{bc}(m,n)$ for $m, n > 0$ is invariant under $L_a(-n)$ for $n = 0, 1$, $\omega_a * E^*_{bc} + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ lies in $\mathcal{S}_{bc}$. The same evaluation then concludes that $\omega_a * E^*_{bc} + O(\mathcal{H}^+) \in \mathcal{M}_{bc}$. □

Now we turn our attention to J_a. We have

Proposition 5.2.3. *For any a and distinct b, c, the spaces $\mathcal{M}^u_{bc}$ and $\mathcal{M}^t_{bc}$ are closed under the left and right multiplication by J_a.*

Proof. We only consider the case that $a = b$ or $a = c$ here. The case that a, b and c are all distinct is treated in Subsection 5.3; see Corollary 5.3.5.

We first prove that if $a = b$ then $J_a * S_{bc}(1,m)$, is a linear combination of $S_{ac}(1,n) * \omega_a^j$, $(j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \leq 5)$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$. By Proposition 4.3.5, it is enough to show that $J_a * S_{ac}(1,m)$, is a linear combination of $S_{ac}(p,q) * \omega_a^j$, $(j \geq 0, p, q > 0)$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} J_a * S_{ac}(1,m) &= \sum_{i=0}^{4} \binom{4}{i} (J_a)_{i-1} S_{ac}(1,m), \quad (1 \leq m \leq 5) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{4} \binom{4}{i} h_c(-m)(J_a)_{i-1} h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $J_a(z) = Y(J, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (J_a)_n z^{-n-1}$. The space $\mathcal{H}_a^-$ is a Virasoro module with respect to a Virasoro element ω_a and decomposes into the direct sum of irreducible modules $\mathcal{H}_a^- = \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} L(1, (2i+1)^2)$ where $L(c, h)$ denotes an irreducible highest weight module with highest weight h and central charge c for the Virasoro element ω_a (cf. [DG]). Since $\mathrm{wt}\,((J_a)_{i-1}h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}) \le 5$, we see $(J_a)_{i-1}h_a(-1)\mathbf{1} \in L(1, 1)$ for $0 \le i \le 4$. Therefore, $J_a * S_{ac}(1, m)$ is a linear combination of the elements

$$L_a(-n_1)\cdots L_a(-n_k)S_{ac}(1, m), \quad n_i \ge 1.$$

It is enough to show that $L_a(-n_1)\cdots L_a(-n_k)S_{ac}(s, t)$, $(n_i \ge 1, s, t > 0)$ are linear combinations of the elements $S_{ac}(p, q) * \omega_a^j$, $(j \ge 0, p, q > 0)$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$. By Proposition 3.2.1 (i) we can assume that $n_1 \le 2$. Set $v = L_a(-n_2)\cdots L_a(-n_k)S_{ac}(s, t)$. Then by Proposition 3.2.1 (ii), $L_a(-2)v \sim v * \omega_a - L_a(-1)v$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_a(-1)v = \sum_i (n_i - 1)L_a(-n_2)\cdots L_a(-n_i - 1)\cdots L_a(-n_k)S_{ac}(s, t) \\ + sL_a(-n_2)\cdots L_a(-n_k)S_{ac}(s + 1, t). \end{aligned}$$

Induction on k gives the desired result.

Since

$$J_a * S_{ac}(1, m) - S_{ac}(1, m) * J_a \sim \sum_{i=0}^{3} \binom{3}{i} (J_a)_i S_{ac}(1, m), \quad (1 \le m \le 5),$$

the same argument above shows that $J_a * S_{ac}(1, m) - S_{ac}(1, m) * J_a$ and thus $S_{ac}(1, m) * J_a$ are also linear combinations of $S_{ac}(1, n) * \omega_a^j$, $(j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le n \le 5)$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

If $a = c$, by Proposition 4.3.5, the span of $S_{ba}(1, m) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $m = 1, ..., 5$ is the same as the span $S_{ba}(n, 1) + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ for $n = 1, ..., 5$. This implies that both $J_a * S_{ba}(1, m)$ and $S_{ba}(1, m) * J_a$ are linear combinations of $S_{ba}(1, n) * \omega_a^j$, $(j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le n \le 5)$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Then by Lemma 5.2.2, $J_a * S_{bc}(1, m)$ and $S_{bc}(1, m) * J_a$ are linear combinations of E_{bc}^u, E_{cb}^u, E_{bc}^t, E_{cb}^t and $\Lambda_{bc} * \omega_a^j$ modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$, and so are $J_a * E_{bc}^*$ and $E_{bc}^* * J_a$. Now, let

$$J_a * E_{bc}^* = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} x_j \Lambda_{bc}\omega_a^j + y_1 E_{bc}^u + y_2 E_{cb}^u + y_3 E_{bc}^t + y_4 E_{cb}^t$$

modulo $O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ with some scalars x_j and y_i. Then the evaluation of these expressions on the top level of $M(1, \lambda)$ shows $x_j = 0$ and then $J_a * E_{bc}^* \in \mathcal{M}_{bc}^*$. Similarly we can prove $E_{bc}^* * J_a \in \mathcal{M}_{bc}^*$. Namely, $\mathcal{M}_{bc}^*$ is closed under both right and left multiplications by J_a. □

5.3 Relations among E^*_{ab}

For convenience, we set $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{ab} = \mathcal{S}_{ab} = \mathcal{M}_{ab} \oplus \mathbb{C}(\Lambda_{ab} + O(\mathcal{H}^+)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}^u_{ab} = \mathcal{M}^u_{ab} \oplus \mathbb{C}(\Lambda_{ab} + O(\mathcal{H}^+))$ and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^t_{ab} = \mathcal{M}^t_{ab} \oplus \mathbb{C}(\Lambda_{ab} + O(\mathcal{H}^+))$.

Recall that $[u] = u + O(\mathcal{H}^+)$ is the image of $u \in \mathcal{H}^+$ in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Lemma 5.3.1. *For distinct a, b, c and d,*
(i) $\mathcal{M}_{ab} * \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{cd} = 0$*,* $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{cd} * \mathcal{M}_{ab} = 0$*.*
(ii) $[\Lambda_{ab}] * [\Lambda_{cd}] = [S_{ab}] * [S_{cd}]$*.*

Proof. Let $u_{ab} \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{ab}$ and $u_{cd} \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{cd}$. Remark 4.1.7 shows that there exists a scalar x such that

$$[u_{ab}] * [u_{cd}] = x[S_{ab}][S_{cd}]. \tag{5.3.1}$$

If $u_{ab} \in \mathcal{M}_{ab}$, the left hand side of (5.3.1) acts trivially on the top level of the module $M(1, \lambda)$. So the evaluation of (5.3.1) on this top level shows $x = 0$, which proves $\mathcal{M}_{ab} * \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{cd} = 0$. Similarly, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{cd} * \mathcal{M}_{ab} = 0$.

Next, the evaluation of $[\Lambda_{ab}] * [\Lambda_{cd}] = x[S_{ab}] * [S_{cd}]$ on the top level of the module $M(1, \lambda)$ leads $x = 1$ as $\Lambda_{ab} = S_{ab} = \lambda_a \lambda_b$. □

As one can guess from Table 1, 2 and 3, the element Λ_{ab} commutes with ω_a and J_a.

Lemma 5.3.2. *For distinct a, b and any c,* $[\Lambda_{ab}, \omega_c] = [\Lambda_{ab}, J_c] = 0$ *in* $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$*.*

Proof. In the case $a \neq c$, $b \neq c$, it is clear. Suppose $a = b$ or $a = c$, then since $[\omega_c, \Lambda_{ab}] \sim (L_c(-1) + L_c(0))\Lambda_{ab}$ by Proposition 3.2.1 (iii), the commutator belongs to $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{ab}$. Since $[\omega_c, \Lambda_{ab}]$ acts trivially on the top levels of the known irreducible modules by Tables 2 and 3, Lemma 5.2.1 asserts that $[\Lambda_{ab}, \omega_c] = 0$. The relation $[\Lambda_{ab}, J_c] = 0$ is proved by the same argument in the proof of Proposition 5.2.3. That is, we express $[\Lambda_{ab}, J_c]$ as a linear combination of $E^u_{ab}, E^u_{ba}, E^t_{ab}, E^t_{ba}$ and $\Lambda_{ab} * \omega^j_c$ and then evaluate the expression on the top levels of the known irreducible modules to show that $[\Lambda_{ab}, J_c] = 0$. □

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.3.3. *For all distinct a, b and c,*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ab}(1, m) * S_{ac}(1, n) = {} & 2\omega_a * S_{bc}(m, n) + \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)S_{bc}(m+2, n) \\ & + m(m+1)S_{bc}(m+1, n) + \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)S_{bc}(m, n). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.3.4. *Let* $\{a,b\}\cap\{c,d\}$ *have cardinality one. Then we have the following in* $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.
(i) $\mathcal{M}^u_{ab} * \mathcal{M}^t_{cd} = \mathcal{M}^t_{cd} * \mathcal{M}^u_{ab} = 0$ *and* $\Lambda_{ab} * \mathcal{M}_{cd} = \mathcal{M}_{cd} * \Lambda_{ab} = 0.$
(ii) $E^u_{ab} * E^u_{cd} = \delta_{bc}E^u_{ad}$ *and* $E^t_{ab} * E^t_{cd} = \delta_{bc}E^t_{ad}$.

Further suppose a, b *and* c *are distinct, then*
(iii) $\Lambda_{ab} * \Lambda_{bc} = 2\omega_b * \Lambda_{ac}$.

Proof. We first consider part (i). Let $u_{ab} \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{ab}$ and $u_{cd} \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{cd}$. We can assume $b = d$ without loss of generality. Then by Lemmas 4.2.3 and 5.3.3 we have that

$$u_{ab} * u_{cd} = x_1\omega_b * S_{ac}(1,1) + x_2\Lambda_{ac} + y_1E^u_{ac} + y_2E^u_{ca} + y_3E^t_{ac} + y_4E^t_{ca}$$

with some scalars x_i and y_j. Note that in part (i) case, element $u_{ab} * u_{cd}$ acts trivially on the top levels of the modules $M(1,\lambda)$, $\mathcal{H}^-$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$. This implies that all x_i and y_j are zero.

Let us now prove the part (ii). Using the same argument in the previous paragraph shows $E^*_{ab}E^*_{cd} \in \mathcal{M}_{ad}$ if $b = c$ and $E^*_{ab}E^*_{cd} \in \mathcal{M}_{bc}$ if $b \neq c$ as $E^u_{ab} * E^u_{cd}$ acts trivially on the top level of $M(1,\lambda)$. Then the result follows from Lemma 5.2.1.

Finally the evaluation of the equality

$$\Lambda_{ab} * \Lambda_{bc} = x_1\omega_b * S_{ac}(1,1) + x_2\Lambda_{ac} + y_1E^u_{ac} + y_2E^u_{ca} + y_3E^t_{ac} + y_4E^t_{ca}$$

on the top level of the modules $\mathcal{H}^-, \mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$ and $M(1,\lambda)$ (see Tables 1, 2, 3) gives the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} &y_1 = y_2 = 0, \\ &x_1 + 32y_3 = 0, x_1 + 32y_4 = 0, \\ &x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved

$$\Lambda_{ab} * \Lambda_{bc} = 2\omega_b * S_{ac}(1,1) - \frac{1}{16}E^t_{ac} - \frac{1}{16}E^t_{ca}. \tag{5.3.2}$$

Then substituting $S_{ac}(1,1) = E^u_{ac} + E^u_{ca} + \Lambda_{ac} + \frac{1}{2}E^t_{ac} + \frac{1}{2}E^t_{ca}$ (see (5.1.2)) into (5.3.2) and using Lemma 5.2.2 gives (iii). □

Corollary 5.3.5. *For all distinct* a, b *and* c, $J_a * E^u_{bc} = E^u_{bc} * J_a = 0$ *and* $J_a * E^t_{bc} = E^t_{bc} * J_a = \frac{1}{128}E^t_{bc}$ *hold in* $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3.4 (ii), $J_a * E^*_{bc} = J_a * E^*_{ba} * E^*_{ac}$. We have already proved in Proposition 5.2.3 that $J_a * E^*_{ba} \in \mathcal{M}^*_{ba}$. Using Lemma 5.3.4 (ii) again shows that $J_a * E^*_{ba} * E^*_{ac} \in \mathcal{M}^*_{bc}$. Similarly, $E^*_{bc} * J_a = E^*_{ba} * E^*_{ac} * J_a \in \mathcal{M}^*_{bc}$. Since $J_a * E^u_{bc}, E^u_{bc} * J_a, J_a * E^t_{bc} - \frac{1}{128}E^t_{bc}$ and $E^t_{bc} * J_a - \frac{1}{128}E^t_{bc}$ act trivially on the top levels of $\mathcal{H}^-$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$, the corollary follows. □

We remark that the Corollary 5.3.5 completes the proof of Proposition 5.2.3.

Lemma 5.3.6. *For distinct a and b, $(E^u_{ab})^2 = (E^t_{ab})^2 = 0, E^u_{ab}E^t_{ab} = E^t_{ab}E^u_{ab} = 0$ and $E^u_{ab}\Lambda_{ab} = \Lambda_{ab}E^u_{ab} = 0, E^t_{ab}\Lambda_{ab} = \Lambda_{ab}E^t_{ab} = 0$ hold in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.*

Proof. Since $S_{ab}(m,n) * S_{ab}(s,t) \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $m,n,s,t > 0$ we see that $E^*_{ab}E^*_{ab}$ is a polynomial in ω_a, ω_b, J_a and J_b (cf. Corollary 4.1.3). In particular, $E^*_{ab}E^*_{ab}$ commutes with ω_a. Then by Lemma 5.2.2, we have

$$0 = [\omega_a, E^u_{ab}E^u_{ab}] = \omega_a E^u_{ab}E^u_{ab} - E^u_{ab}E^u_{ab}\omega_a = E^u_{ab}E^u_{ab}.$$

Similarly, $(E^t_{ab})^2 = E^u_{ab}E^t_{ab} = E^t_{ab}E^u_{ab} = 0$.

Since Λ_{ab} commutes with ω_a by Lemma 5.3.2, we also have $E^u_{ab}\Lambda_{ab}\omega_a = 0$. Thus the same argument in the previous paragraph shows that $E^u_{ab}\Lambda_{ab} = 0$. The rest of the equalities are proved similarly. □

The following is an immediate corollary of Lemma 5.3.4 (ii).

Lemma 5.3.7. *For distinct a, b and c, $E^u_{ab}E^u_{ba} = E^u_{ac}E^u_{ca}$ and $E^t_{ab}E^t_{ba} = E^t_{ac}E^t_{ca}$.*

Thanks to Lemma 5.3.6, we define new elements $E^*_{aa} = E^*_{ab}E^*_{ba}$ for all $1 \le a \le \ell$, which is independent of the choice of the index b.

Lemma 5.3.8. *For any a and distinct b, c,*
(i) $E^u_{aa}E^u_{bc} = \delta_{ab}E^u_{ac}$ *and* $E^u_{bc}E^u_{aa} = \delta_{ca}E^u_{ba}$.
(ii) $E^t_{aa}E^t_{bc} = \delta_{ab}E^t_{ac}$ *and* $E^t_{bc}E^t_{aa} = \delta_{ca}E^t_{ba}$.
(iii) $E^u_{aa}E^t_{bc} = E^t_{bc}E^u_{aa} = 0$.
(iv) $E^t_{aa}E^u_{bc} = E^u_{bc}E^t_{aa} = 0$.
(v) $E^u_{aa}\Lambda_{bc} = \Lambda_{bc}E^u_{aa} = 0$.
(vi) $E^t_{aa}\Lambda_{bc} = \Lambda_{bc}E^t_{aa} = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $a \ne b$. Then Lemma 5.3.4 (ii) or Lemma 5.3.6 shows $E^*_{aa}E^*_{bc} = E^*_{ab}E^*_{ba}E^*_{bc} = 0$.

If $a = b$, then $E^*_{aa}E^*_{bc} = E^*_{ac}E^*_{ca}E^*_{ac}$. Since $E^*_{ac}E^*_{ca}$ lies in the subalgebra generated by $\omega_a, \omega_c, J_a, J_c$, we use Lemma 5.2.2 and Proposition 5.2.3 (also see Corollary 5.3.5) to show $E^*_{aa}E^*_{ac} \in \mathcal{M}^*_{ac}$. Then the evaluation method proves $E^*_{aa}E^*_{ac} = E^*_{ac}$.

The rest of the equalities in (i), (ii) and (iii)–(vi) are proved similarly. □

Now we go back to $E^u_{ab}E^t_{ba}$ and $E^t_{ab}E^u_{ba}$.

Lemma 5.3.9. *Suppose $a \neq b$. Then $E^u_{ab}E^t_{ba} = E^t_{ab}E^u_{ba} = 0$.*

Proof. Since $E^*_{ab} = E^*_{ab}E^*_{bb}$ by Lemma 5.3.8 (i) and (ii), we have $E^u_{ab}E^t_{ba} = E^u_{ab}E^u_{bb}E^t_{ba} = 0$ and $E^t_{ab}E^u_{ba} = E^t_{ab}E^t_{bb}E^u_{ba} = 0$ by Lemma 5.3.8 (iii) and (iv). □

Using the same argument in the proof of Lemma 5.3.9, we prove:

Lemma 5.3.10. *For any distinct a and b, $E^u_{aa}E^u_{bb} = E^t_{aa}E^t_{bb} = 0$ and $E^u_{aa}E^t_{bb} = E^t_{bb}E^u_{aa} = 0$.*

Lemma 5.3.11. *For any a, $(E^u_{aa})^2 = E^u_{aa}$, $(E^t_{aa})^2 = E^t_{aa}$ and $E^u_{aa}E^t_{aa} = E^t_{aa}E^u_{aa} = 0$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 5.3.8 (i) and (ii), we see $E^*_{aa}E^*_{aa} = E^*_{ab}E^*_{ba}E^*_{aa} = E^*_{ab}E^*_{ba} = E^*_{aa}$. Similarly we prove $E^u_{aa}E^t_{aa} = E^t_{aa}E^u_{aa} = 0$ by Lemma 5.3.8 (iii) and (iv). □

Summarizing we have:

Proposition 5.3.12. *For any a, b, c and d,*
(i) $E^u_{ab}E^u_{cd} = \delta_{bc}E^u_{ad}$.
(ii) $E^t_{ab}E^t_{cd} = \delta_{bc}E^t_{ad}$.
(iii) $E^u_{ab}E^t_{cd} = 0, E^t_{cd}E^u_{ab} = 0$.
(iv) $\Lambda_{ab}E^u_{cd} = E^u_{cd}\Lambda_{ab} = 0$ *and* $\Lambda_{ab}E^t_{cd} = E^t_{cd}\Lambda_{ab} = 0$, $(a \neq b)$.

Further suppose a, b, c distinct,
(v) $\Lambda_{ab}\Lambda_{bc} = 2\omega_b * \Lambda_{ac}$.

The following corollary follows from Remark 5.1.3, Lemma 5.3.2 and Proposition 5.3.12.

Corollary 5.3.13. *For distinct a and b, Λ_{ab} lies in the the center of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.*

Let $\mathcal{I}^u = \big(\oplus_{\substack{1\le a,b\le \ell, \\ a\neq b}}\mathcal{M}^u_{ab}\big) \bigoplus \big(\oplus_{1\le a\le \ell}\mathbb{C}E^u_{aa}\big), \mathcal{I}^t = \big(\oplus_{\substack{1\le a,b\le \ell, \\ a\neq b}}\mathcal{M}^t_{ab}\big) \bigoplus \big(\oplus_{1\le a\le \ell}\mathbb{C}E^t_{aa}\big)$ and $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^u \oplus \mathcal{I}^t$.

Proposition 5.3.14. *The vector spaces $\mathcal{I}^u$ and $\mathcal{I}^t$ are ideals of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and are isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathrm{Mat}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ as associative algebras. Furthermore, $\mathcal{I}^u * \mathcal{I}^t = \mathcal{I}^t * \mathcal{I}^u = 0$.*

Proof. It suffice to prove that $\mathcal{I}^u$ and $\mathcal{I}^t$ are ideals. But this is clear from Remark 5.1.3, Lemma 5.2.2, Propositions 5.2.3 and 5.3.12. □

By Corollary 4.1.3, $\omega_a, \omega_b, J_a, J_b$ commute in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$. Combining Remark 5.1.3, Corollary 5.3.13 and Proposition 5.3.14 together gives the following result.

Proposition 5.3.15. *The quotient algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)/\mathcal{I}$ is abelian and is generated by the image of ω_a, J_a and Λ_{ab} for $1 \leq a, b \leq \ell$.*

6 Classification of irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}^+$

In this conclusion section we first give more relations among generators of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and classify the irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}^+$ by classifying the simple modules for $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$.

6.1 Relations among ω_a, J_a and E^*_{ab}

Set $H_a = J_a + \omega_a - 4\omega_a^2$, $(i \leq a \leq \ell)$. Let us recall that

$$S_{ab}(m,n) = h_a(-m)h_b(-n)\mathbf{1}$$

for $m, n > 0$. In this subsection we shall compute $[S_{ab}(1,m), S_{ab}(1,n)]$ for certain $m, n >$ in two ways and obtain new relations in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$. Since both $S_{ab}(1,m)$ and $S_{ab}(1,n)$ are linear combinations of $E^u_{ab}, E^u_{ba}, E^t_{ab}, E^t_{ba}$ and Λ_{ab}, $[S_{ab}(1,m), S_{ab}(1,n)]$ is a linear combinations of $E^u_{aa}, E^u_{bb}, E^t_{aa}, E^t_{bb}$ on the one hand. On the other hand, a direct computation of $[S_{ab}(1,m), S_{ab}(1,n)]$ by definition shows that $[S_{ab}(1,m), S_{ab}(1,n)]$ is a polynomial in $\omega_a, \omega_b, J_a, J_b$. This will give two new relations. The other two new relations are derived in different ways.

Recall from Remark 5.1.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ab}(1,1) &= E^u_{ab} + E^u_{ba} + \Lambda_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}E^t_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}E^t_{ba}, \\ S_{ab}(1,2) &= -2E^u_{ab} - \Lambda_{ab} - \frac{3}{4}E^t_{ab} - \frac{1}{4}E^t_{ba}, \quad \text{and} \\ S_{ab}(1,4) &= -4E^u_{ab} - \Lambda_{ab} - \frac{35}{32}E^t_{ab} - \frac{5}{32}E^t_{ba}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.1.1. *If $a \neq b$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{2}{9}H_a+\frac{2}{9}H_b=2E^u_{aa}-2E^u_{bb}+\frac{1}{4}E^t_{aa}-\frac{1}{4}E^t_{bb}, \quad \textit{and}\\ &-\frac{4}{135}(2\omega_a+13)H_a+\frac{4}{135}(2\omega_b+13)H_b=4E^u_{aa}-4E^u_{bb}+\frac{15}{32}E^t_{aa}-\frac{15}{32}E^t_{bb}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we see from Proposition 3.1.2 (iii) that

$$[S_{ab}(1,1),S_{ab}(1,m)]\sim S_{ab}(1,1)_0S_{ab}(1,m)+S_{ab}(1,1)_1S_{ab}(1,m).$$

Note that

$$S_{ab}(1,1)_k=\sum_{i+j=k-1} {\circ \atop \circ} h_a(i)h_b(j) {\circ \atop \circ}$$

and i or j is nonnegative if $k \geq 0$. Thus $S_{ab}(1,1)_0S_{ab}(1,m)+S_{ab}(1,1)_1S_{ab}(1,m) \in \mathcal{H}_a^+ + \mathcal{H}_b^+$.

We now deal with $[S_{ab}(1,1),S_{ab}(1,2)]$. From Proposition 5.3.12 and Corollary 5.3.13,

$$[S_{ab}(1,1),S_{ab}(1,2)]=2E^u_{aa}-2E^u_{bb}+\frac{1}{4}E^t_{aa}-\frac{1}{4}E^t_{bb}.$$

Since the weights of $S_{ab}(1,1)_0S_{ab}(1,2)$ and $S_{ab}(1,1)_1S_{ab}(1,2)$ are less than or equal to 4, we see that

$$[S_{ab}(1,1),S_{ab}(1,2)]=xH_a+f(\omega_a)+yH_b+g(\omega_b) \tag{6.1.1}$$

with some scalars x,y and polynomials f and g.

Evaluating (6.1.1) on the top level of the module $M(1,\lambda)$ and noting that $H_a = H_b = 0$ (see Table 3) yields $f(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2)+g(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b^2)=0$ for all $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$, which implies $f=g=0$. Therefore, we have

$$xH_a+yH_b=2E^u_{aa}-2E^u_{bb}+\frac{1}{4}E^t_{aa}-\frac{1}{4}E^t_{bb}. \tag{6.1.2}$$

Further the evaluation of (6.1.2) on the top level of the module $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$ shows that $x=-2/9$ and $y=2/9$.

Similarly, compute $[S_{ab}(1,1),S_{ab}(1,4)]$ in two different ways gives

$$(x\omega_a+y)H_a+f(\omega_a)+(z\omega_b+w)H_b+f(\omega_b)=4E^u_{aa}-4E^u_{bb}+\frac{15}{32}E^t_{aa}-\frac{15}{32}E^t_{bb}$$

for some scalars x,y,z,w and polynomials f and g. Again the evaluation on $M(1,\lambda)$ shows that $f=g=0$. That is

$$(x\omega_a+y)H_a+(z\omega_b+w)H_b=4E^u_{aa}-4E^u_{bb}+\frac{15}{32}E^t_{aa}-\frac{15}{32}E^t_{bb}. \tag{6.1.3}$$

Now the evaluation of (6.1.3) on the top level of the module $\mathcal{H}^-$ shows

$$x+y=-\frac{4}{9} \quad \text{and} \quad z+w=\frac{4}{9}, \tag{6.1.4}$$

the evaluation of (6.1.3) on the top level of the module $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$ yields

$$-\frac{153}{256}x-\frac{9}{8}y=\frac{15}{32} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{153}{256}z-\frac{9}{8}w=-\frac{15}{32}. \tag{6.1.5}$$

Solving the linear system (6.1.4) and (6.1.5) we find

$$x=-\frac{8}{135}, \quad y=-\frac{52}{135}, \quad z=\frac{8}{135}, \quad w=\frac{52}{135}.$$

□

We need two more relations.

Lemma 6.1.2. *If $a \neq b$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_b H_a &= -\frac{2}{15}(\omega_a-1)H_a+\frac{1}{15}(\omega_b-1)H_b, \quad \textit{and}\\ \Lambda_{ab}^2 &= 4\omega_a\omega_b-\frac{1}{9}(H_a+H_b)-(E_{aa}^u+E_{bb}^u)-\frac{1}{4}(E_{aa}^t+E_{bb}^t).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_a &= \frac{1}{2}h_a(-1)^2,\\ \omega_a^2 &\sim (L_a(-2)+L_a(-1))\omega_a=\frac{1}{4}h_a(-1)^4\mathbf{1}+h_a(-3)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}+h_a(-2)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1},\\ J_a &= h_a(-1)^4\mathbf{1}-2h_a(-3)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}+\frac{3}{2}h_a(-2)^2\mathbf{1}.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$H_a \sim -6h_a(-3)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}-4h_a(-2)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}+\frac{3}{2}h_a(-2)^2\mathbf{1}+\frac{1}{2}h_a(-1)^2\mathbf{1}.$$

Now using $L(-1)S_{aa}(2,1)+L(0)S_{aa}(2,1)\sim 0$ (cf. Proposition 3.1.2 (iv)), we have

$$2h_a(-3)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}+h_a(-2)^2\mathbf{1}+3h_a(-2)h_a(-1)\mathbf{1}\sim 0.$$

and

$$H_a \sim -9S_{aa}(1,3)-\frac{17}{2}S_{aa}(1,2)+\frac{1}{2}S_{aa}(1,1).$$

Then Lemma 4.2.4 shows

$$\omega_b H_a = c\omega_a\omega_b + (\alpha\omega_a + \beta)H_a + (x\omega_b + y)H_b + f(\omega_a) + g(\omega_b) \tag{6.1.6}$$

with some scalars c, α, β, x, y and polynomials f and g.

Now the evaluation of (6.1.6) on the top level of the module $M(1, \lambda)$ shows

$$\frac{c}{4}\lambda_a^2\lambda_b^2 + f(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2) + g(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b^2) = 0.$$

This implies $c = 0$ and $f = g = 0$. Thus we have

$$\omega_b H_a = (\alpha\omega_a + \beta)H_a + (x\omega_b + y)H_b. \tag{6.1.7}$$

Finally the evaluation of (6.1.7) on the top levels of the modules $\mathcal{H}^-$ and $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$ respectively gives

$$\alpha + \beta = 0, \quad x + y = 0, \tag{6.1.8}$$

$$-\frac{153}{256}\alpha - \frac{9}{8}\beta = -\frac{9}{128}, \quad -\frac{153}{256}x - \frac{9}{8}y = \frac{9}{256}. \tag{6.1.9}$$

Then solving the linear system (6.1.8) and (6.1.9) gives $\alpha = -2/15, \beta = 2/15, x = 1/15$ and $y = -1/15$.

For the second relation, note from Lemma 5.1.1 and Proposition 5.3.12 that $\Lambda_{ab} = \Lambda_{ba}$ and $\Lambda_{ab}E^u_{cd} = \Lambda_{ab}E^t_{cd} = 0$. Thus

$$S_{ab}(1,1)^2 = E^u_{aa} + E^u_{bb} + \Lambda^2_{ab} + \frac{1}{4}E^t_{aa} + \frac{1}{4}E^t_{bb}.$$

On the other hand, the evaluation method shows $S_{ab}(1,1)^2 = 4\omega_a\omega_b - \frac{1}{9}H_a - \frac{1}{9}H_b$ and then we have

$$\Lambda^2_{ab} = 4\omega_a\omega_b - \frac{1}{9}(H_a + H_b) - (E^u_{aa} + E^u_{bb}) - \frac{1}{4}(E^t_{aa} + E^t_{bb}).$$

□

Summarizing, we have:

Proposition 6.1.3. *For distinct a and b,*

$$(70H_a + 1188\omega_a^2 - 585\omega_a + 27)H_a = 0, \tag{6.1.10}$$

$$(\omega_a - 1)\big(\omega_a - \frac{1}{16}\big)\big(\omega_a - \frac{9}{16}\big)H_a = 0, \tag{6.1.11}$$

$$-\frac{2}{9}H_a + \frac{2}{9}H_b = 2E^u_{aa} - 2E^u_{bb} + \frac{1}{4}E^t_{aa} - \frac{1}{4}E^t_{bb}, \tag{6.1.12}$$

$$-\frac{4}{135}(2\omega_a + 13)H_a + \frac{4}{135}(2\omega_b + 13)H_b = 4E^u_{aa} - 4E^u_{bb} + \frac{15}{32}E^t_{aa} - \frac{15}{32}E^t_{bb}, \tag{6.1.13}$$

$$\omega_b H_a = -\frac{2}{15}(\omega_a - 1)H_a + \frac{1}{15}(\omega_b - 1)H_b, \tag{6.1.14}$$

$$\Lambda^2_{ab} = 4\omega_a\omega_b - \frac{1}{9}(H_a + H_b) - (E^u_{aa} + E^u_{bb}) - \frac{1}{4}(E^t_{aa} + E^t_{bb}), \tag{6.1.15}$$

$$\Lambda_{ab}\Lambda_{bc} = 2\omega_b * \Lambda_{ac} \quad \textit{for } a, b, c \textit{ distinct.} \tag{6.1.16}$$

(6.1.10) and (6.1.11) were given in [DN1] by noting that $70H_a + 1188\omega_a^2 - 585\omega_a + 27 = 70J_a + 908\omega^2 - 515\omega + 27$.

6.2 Irreducible modules for $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and the classification result

Thanks to the relations we have obtained in the preceding subsections we are able to classify all the irreducible modules for the algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and then for the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{H}^+$.

Recall $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^u \oplus \mathcal{I}^t$. Let W be an irreducible $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$-module. There are two cases: $\mathcal{I}W \neq 0$ or $\mathcal{I}W = 0$. If $\mathcal{I}W \neq 0$ then either $\mathcal{I}^uW \neq 0$ or $\mathcal{I}^tW \neq 0$. If $\mathcal{I}^uW \neq 0$ then we must have $\mathcal{I}^uW = W$ and $\mathcal{I}^tW = 0$ as $\mathcal{I}^u, \mathcal{I}^t$ are ideals of $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ and $\mathcal{I}^u \cap \mathcal{I}^t = 0$. Thus W is a simple module for $\mathcal{I}^u$ and is isomorphic to the top level of $\mathcal{H}^-$ as $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$-modules. Similarly, if $\mathcal{I}^tW \neq 0$, then W is isomorphic to the top level of $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^-$.

Now we assume that $\mathcal{I}W = 0$. Then W is a module for quotient algebra $A(\mathcal{H}^+)/\mathcal{I}$ which is commutative by Proposition 5.3.15. Since $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ has countable dimension, W is 1-dimensional. Then each element in $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ acts a scalar on W.

Suppose $H_a = 0$ for some a on W. Then (6.1.12) shows $H_a = 0$ for all a. Let $\omega_a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2$ with $\lambda_a \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have $J_a = \lambda_a^4 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2$ as $H_a = 0$. Now (6.1.15) shows

$$\Lambda^2_{ab} = 4\omega_a\omega_b = \lambda_a^2\lambda_b^2.$$

on W. Therefore $\Lambda_{ab} = \varepsilon_{ab}\lambda_a\lambda_b$ where $\varepsilon_{ab} = \pm 1$. Substituting the relation into (6.1.16), we have $\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{bc} = \varepsilon_{ac}$. Therefore there exists a map ε from the index set $\{1, 2, \ldots, \ell\}$

to $\{\pm 1\}$ such that $\varepsilon_{ab} = (-1)^{\varepsilon(a)-\varepsilon(b)}$. Then replacing λ_a by $(-1)^{\varepsilon(a)}\lambda_a$, we have

$$\omega_a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2, \quad J_a = \lambda_a^4 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{ab} = \lambda_a\lambda_b.$$

Thus the module W is isomorphic to the top level of the module $M(1,\lambda)$ as $A(\mathcal{H}^+)/\mathcal{I}$ is generated by ω_a, J_a and Λ_{ab} (see Proposition 5.3.15.)

Next suppose $H_a \neq 0$ on W for some a. Then (6.1.12) says $H_a = H_b$ for all a and b. Then (6.1.13) shows $\omega_a - \omega_b = 0$ on W. Now set $\omega_a = \lambda$. Then (6.1.14) implies $\lambda = 1/16$ and then $J_a = 3/128$ by (6.1.10). Finally (6.1.15) shows $\Lambda_{ab}^2 = 0$, i.e., $\Lambda_{ab} = 0$. Thus the module W in this case is isomorphic to the top level of the module $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^+$.

Note that the top level of $M(1,0)$ is also the top level of $\mathcal{H}^+$. Thus we have proved:

Proposition 6.2.1. *Any irreducible module for* $A(\mathcal{H}^+)$ *is isomorphic either to the top level of the module* $M(1,\lambda)$, $(0 \neq \lambda \in \mathfrak{h})$ *or to the top level of* $\mathcal{H}^+$ *or to the top level of* $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^+$.

Finally by Theorem 3.1.1 (iii) we have proved:

Theorem 6.2.2. *Any irreducible admissible module for the vertex operator algebra* $\mathcal{H}^+$ *is isomorphic to one of the following modules;*

$$\mathcal{H}^+, \quad \mathcal{H}^-, \quad M(1,\lambda) \simeq M(1,-\lambda), \, (\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{h}), \quad \mathcal{H}(\theta)^+, \quad \mathcal{H}(\theta)^-.$$

In particular, any irreducible admissible module for $\mathcal{H}^+$ *is an ordinary module.*

References

[B] Borcherds, R., Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[DG] Dong, C. and Griess, R.L.,Jr., Rank one lattice type vertex operator algebras and their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra* **208** (1998), 262-275.

[DLM1] Dong, C., Li, H. and Mason, G., Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Advances in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.

[DLM2] Dong, C., Li, H. and Mason, G., Twisted representation of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.

[DLM3] Dong, C., Li, H. and Mason, G., Certain associative algebras similar to $U(sl_2)$ and Zhu's algebra $A(V_L)$, *J. Algebra* **196** (1997), 532–551.

[DL] Dong, C. and Lin, Z., Induced modules for vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996), 157-184.

[DM1] Dong, C. and Mason, G., Nonabelian orbifolds and boson-fermion correspondence, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 523–559.

[DM2] Dong, C. and Mason, G., On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305-321.

[DMZ] Dong, C., Mason, G, Zhu, Y.: Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the Moonshine module, in *Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Quantum and Infinite-Dimensional Method*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **56**, Part 2 (1994), 295–316.

[DN1] Dong, C., Nagatomo, K. Classification of irreducible modules for vertex operator algebra $M(1)^+$, *J. Algebra,* to appear, math.QA/9806051.

[DN2] Dong, C., Nagatomo, K. Representation of vertex algebra V_L^+ for rank one lattice L, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **202** (1999), 169-195.

[DN3] Dong, C., Nagatomo, K. Automorphism groups and twisted modules for lattice vertex operator algebras, *Contemporary Math. AMS,* to appear, math.QA/9808088.

[FLM] Frenkel, I.B., Lepowsky, J. and Meurman, A., Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, Academic Press, 1988

[FZ] Frenkel, I. B., Zhu, Y. Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.

[W] Wang, W. Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **71** (1993), 197–210.

[Z] Zhu, Y., Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. AMS* **9** (1996), 237-301.